MINUTES OF THE REGULAR BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING Pursuant to notice given, the regular meeting of the Board of Directors, Alpine Springs County Water District, was held Friday, November 14, 2008, at 8:30 a.m., District Board Room, 270 Alpine Meadows Road.

1	1.	CALL TO ORDER
2		President Danz called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.
3		
4		Those attending joined in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.
5		ROLL CALL
6		Directors Present: Barbara Danz, President; Charles Nungester, Vice President;
7		Janet S. Grant; Jon Northrop; Virginia Quinan
8		Directors Absent: None.
9		Staff Present: John Collins, General Manager; Buz Bancroft, Operations &
10		Maintenance; Mike Dobrowski, District CPA (by telephone); Jancis Martin,
11		Recording Secretary
12		Guests Present: Pat Dillon/NTFPD; Dave Ruben/NTFPD; Duane
13	-	Whitelaw/NTFPD
14	2.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
15	•	None.
16	3.	ITEMS FOR BOARD DISCUSSION & ACTION
17		A. <u>Financial Reports</u> :
18		Mike Dobrowski, District CPA, participated in discussion of this agenda
19		item by telephone.
20		President Danz prefaced the discussion by noting that the financial
21		statements were reviewed but not discussed by the Budget & Finance
22		Committee, as they spent most of the meeting discussing the audit.
23		• Mike said the District's cash balance is \$132,000 more than at the
24		same time last year. He noted that the District had major capital
25		expenditures this year.
26		<ul> <li>Accounts receivable are down to \$43,000. Mike will send out final</li> </ul>
27		notices this month, per the District's collection policy.
28		<ul> <li>Mike has reviewed the audit recommendations and will book the audit</li> </ul>
29		adjustments.
30		<ul> <li>In response to a question from President Danz regarding the negative</li> </ul>
31		amount for October's Water Revenue reported in the Profit & Loss
32		Budget Performance report, Mike and Buz noted that it was
33		associated with the refund of several years' worth of service charges
34		billed for two unused water meters.
35		<ul> <li>President Danz noted that the hazardous waste clean-up was</li> </ul>
36		incorrectly reported as a Garbage Contract expense. She requested
37		that the Garbage Contract budget category be relabeled Garbage
38		Services and that sub-categories be created and labeled Garbage
39		Contract (for expenses associated with the TTSD contract) and Other
40		Garbage Services (for hazardous waste and any other expenses).
41		<ul> <li>Director Grant asked for a definition of "Accounts Payable – 06 Fund",</li> </ul>
42		an item on the Statement of Cash Flows for October 2008. Manager
43		Collins said it is the account that tracks expenses associated with the
44		fire contract. President Danz noted that the amount for the line item
45		matched the "Accounts Payable" total in Mike's two-page summary.
46		Mike said he mislabeled the item on the report and that it should read
47		"Accounts Payable".
48		<ul> <li>In response to a question from Director Grant about the \$600,000</li> </ul>
49		check to Wachovia Securities in the check register, Mike reported

1

1		that, per Board direction last month, the funds were transferred from
2		LAIF to Wachovia to buy CDs, which were subsequently purchased.
3		i. October Financial Statements: Director Nungester made a MOTION
4		that the Board accept the financial statements, dated November 7,
5		2008, as presented. Director Northrop SECONDED the motion.
6		Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester and Quinan being in favor, the
7		motion was APPROVED.
8		ii. October Expenses Paid & Payable: The Directors reviewed the
9		monthly check register. Director Grant made a MOTION that the
10		Board approve the Expenses Paid & Payable (two direct debits for
11		payroll and checks 23994 through 24059, with the exception of voided
12		checks 23999 and 24028). Director Northrop SECONDED the
13		motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester and Quinan being in
14		favor, the motion was APPROVED.
15	В.	Approval of Minutes of Regular Board Meeting Held on October 10,
16		<u>2008</u> :
17		Director Quinan made a MOTION that the Board approve the minutes of
18		the October 10, 2008, Regular Board of Directors Meeting. Director
19		Northrop SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester
20		and Quinan being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
21	C.	Fire Department Report:
22		Dave Ruben, Pat Dillon and Chief Whitelaw from NTFPD attended the
23		meeting.
24		October Dispatch Report: There were no calls from Alpine Meadows.
25		The old engine will return to Alpine Meadows later today.
26		NTFPD sent a crew to fight the fire in Montecito.
20		<ul> <li>Vehicle Maintenance Building Addition:</li> </ul>
28		<ul> <li>Manager Collins reported that, during the past month, diesel and</li> </ul>
20		motor oil were found at a depth of four feet where the footing was
30		to be constructed for the building addition. The substances were
31		in high enough concentrations that their discovery had to be
31		reported to the Placer County Health Department. The Health
		Department has requested that the District develop a program to
33 34		determine the extent and concentrations of diesel and motor oil in
		the area. A geotech contractor is now working on the problem; it
35		
36		will cost about \$7,000 to determine the extent of the substances.
37		The preferred plan, which would probably be the least expensive,
38		is to excavate the top three feet, lay down a geomat, and then
39		compact the top soil, leaving the diesel and motor oil in place
40		beneath. If the District must excavate the entire area and have
41		the substances hauled to a hazardous waste facility in Marysville,
42		the clean-up could be quite expensive.
43		• Manager Collins will research the history of the area in question to
44		determine the source of the diesel and motor oil. The source of
45		the oil may have been material (road sand) deposited by Caltrans
46		(and other public agencies) – at the request of the District - when
47		old sewer ponds were filled in. Another possible source is fuel
48		used by firefighters during training sessions years ago. The
49		firefighters dug trenches in that area, filled them with fuel and then

1		set the fuel on fire to practice extinguishing the fire. It is important
2		to determine the history of the substances so that the Health
3		Department can be assured that no diesel or motor oil is currently
4		leaking into the area.
5		
6		to proceed.
7		<ul> <li>Construction materials have been delivered to the work site.</li> </ul>
8		
		•
9		<ul> <li>John Moise wants to work with NTFPD to obtain additional grant</li> </ul>
10		funding for fuels reduction in the Valley. NTFPD will pay to send
11		Stewart McMorrow to the upcoming Clearinghouse Grant Writing
12		Workshop. If what Stewart learns looks promising, he will work
13		with John Moise on projects for the Valley.
14		• Director Grant asked if information about the cost of private fuels
15		reduction projects in the Valley would be useful to Stewart in
16		obtaining future grants. Manager Collins said the District needs
17		the cost information to include in the report he provides to Sierra
18		Nevada Conservancy regarding the in-kind fuels reduction work in
19		the Valley. He can only include information about projects carried
20		out after July 1. The cost of the labor for the recent fuels
21		reduction project at the District office will also be counted as in-
		· •
22		kind work.
23	D.	Resolution 5-2008: Capital Facilities and Fire Mitigation Fee
24		Expenditure Plan:
25		The Board discussed proposed changes to its Capital Facilities and Fire
26		Mitigation Fee Expenditure Plan (Plan) for fiscal year 2008-2009. Chief
27		Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.
27 28		<ul><li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li><li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and</li></ul>
27		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the</li> </ul>
27 28 29		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> <li>Director Nungester expressed concern that the increase in mitigation</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> <li>Director Nungester expressed concern that the increase in mitigation fees using the construction cost index is significantly higher than what</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> <li>Director Nungester expressed concern that the increase in mitigation fees using the construction cost index is significantly higher than what it would be if the San Francisco index were used. Dave said the index</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> <li>Director Nungester expressed concern that the increase in mitigation fees using the construction cost index is significantly higher than what it would be if the San Francisco index were used. Dave said the index is based on the cost of construction materials, not on the cost of</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> <li>Director Nungester expressed concern that the increase in mitigation fees using the construction cost index is significantly higher than what it would be if the San Francisco index were used. Dave said the index</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> <li>Director Nungester expressed concern that the increase in mitigation fees using the construction cost index were used. Dave said the index is based on the cost of construction materials, not on the cost of consumables, which is the basis of the San Francisco index. Dave</li> </ul>
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46		<ul> <li>Whitelaw, Dave Ruben and Pat Dillon participated in the discussion.</li> <li>Last month it was reported that there would be a public hearing and Board vote on the Plan at today's meeting. However, NTFPD felt the Plan should be discussed again prior to the public hearing, due to changes that have been made to the Plan since the Board reviewed it last month.</li> <li>Dave Ruben said that the Plan must be updated annually. The first annual update must specify the annual inflationary index to be in effect for the next five years. NTFPD normally uses the index for San Francisco urban consumers. For this Plan revision, NTFPD prefers to use a construction cost index, which is more appropriate for the types of projects funded by the mitigation fees. It is higher than the San Francisco index: 8.3% vs. 4.2% for the period July 2007 through October 2008. Placer County and Truckee Fire are switching to this index. Placer County Office of Emergency Services also recommends use of this index.</li> <li>Director Nungester expressed concern that the increase in mitigation fees using the construction cost index is significantly higher than what it would be if the San Francisco index were used. Dave said the index is based on the cost of construction materials, not on the cost of</li> </ul>

1	fund construction projects, with materials expected to cost 8% more
1 2	than the amount currently budgeted. He also said the index is
3	updated whenever the Plan is updated, i.e., each year. Director
4	Nungester thanked the NTFPD representatives for their explanation.
5	• Dave said that it takes five months between the time the Plan update
6	is proposed and the time the new fees are implemented because of
7	the legislative process involved. He will try to shorten the process
8	next year.
9	Director Quinan asked why NTFPD omitted the Facilities Expenditure
10	Plan in the newest revision of the Plan. Dave said it was because
11	Placer County told NTFPD they could eliminate some of the
12	redundant information in the Plan. Director Nungester and President
13	Danz pointed out that the final two paragraphs in the section
14	"Relationship Between Growth and Capital Facilities Plan" should be
15	removed, as they refer to information no longer included in the Plan.
16	• President Danz requested that the second sentence in the paragraph
17	in the section "Ongoing Inflation Adjustment" start with "Starting in
18	fiscal year 2008/2009 and for the five-year period through, the
19	District will adjust mitigation fees based on the percent change".
20	For clarity, President Danz suggested that the Notice include a
21	footnote that says the new fees take effect 60 days after Board of
22	Supervisors approval.
23	In response to a question from President Danz, Dave said that the
24	District should start next year's plan update process in May or June,
25	2009. The increased fees would then become effective in October,
26	after the building season is over.
27	• President Danz suggested replacing "is" with "are" in the last sentence
28	of the third paragraph in the section "Relationship Between Growth
29	and Capital Facilities Plan". She also pointed out that the word "data"
30	(e.g., first page, third paragraph, first sentence) is plural and grammar
31	should be adjusted accordingly.
32	<ul> <li>Director Nungester said some of the changes he suggested last</li> </ul>
33	month have not been made. In the section under "Relationship
34	Between Growth and Capital Facilities Plan", he would like the word
35	"periodically" to precede "congestion" in the second paragraph. He
36	also expressed concern about the use of "the District" (meaning
37	ASCWD) as identifying needs for apparatus and construction, when it
38	is really NTFPD who has identified the needs. Chief Whitelaw said
39	ASCWD has contracted with NTFPD to provide fire protection
40	services, including the identification of fire protection needs for
41	ASCWD. President Danz agreed with Chief Whitelaw. Dave pointed
42	out that the items under "Use Statement" are just placeholders with no
43	budgeted funds associated with them.
44	<ul> <li>President Danz suggested reordering the items under "Use</li> </ul>
45	Statement" according to priority; "Station expansion - ADA" should be
46	first, "New Storage Building" should be second. She asked if the
47	Directors had any additions to the "Use Statement". There were no
47 48	additional proposals.
40	

1		<ul> <li>Director Northrop asked why the District had to comply with ADA</li> </ul>
2		requirements in the fire station. Chief Whitelaw said it was because
3		the building is used for community activities. Pat said the architect
4		recommended that the District be in compliance and said the changes
5		could be made over time. The changes are only needed on the first
6		level. ADA improvements should cost no more than 20% of the cost
7		of the addition.
8		Manager Collins asked if there should be funds associated with the
9		storage building on the budget page, especially since the Board has
10		recently become aware of the need to clean up the hazardous waste
11		on the site. Chief Whitelaw and the Board agreed that \$1,000 should
12		be budgeted for "Station expansion - ADA" and the remainder of the
13		total projected available funds for fiscal year 2008-09 should be
14		budgeted for "Storage building". Dave said he would show \$8,200
15		budgeted for "Station expansion - ADA" in fiscal year 2009-10.
		<ul> <li>President Danz pointed out that the Directors should think about</li> </ul>
16		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
17		additions to the "Use Statement". Dave pointed out that the items on
18		the "Use Statement" must be tied to growth. Chief Whitelaw said
19		some of the items on the "Use Statement" were added in response to
20		proposed development in the Valley.
21		• On the "Notice to Placer County Builders", President Danz suggested
22		preceding "FEE SCHEDULE" with "NEW". Dave said the County had
		· · ·
23		provided the boilerplate for the notice; he will check to see if it can be
24		changed.
25		The schedule for implementing the updated Plan is: 1) review of the
26		proposed Plan by the Board this month, 2) a public hearing and Board
27		approval at the December Board meeting, 3) review and approval of
28		the Plan by the County Board of Supervisors, 4) implementation of the
29		new fees 60 days after approval by the Board of Supervisors.
30	E.	<u>General Manager's Report</u> :
	ш.	
31		John Collins, General Manager, provided a written report on his activities
32		during the month of October, with brief discussion on the following topics:
33		<ul> <li>Well R-1 Modification: Resolved the electrical code violation,</li> </ul>
34		conducted start-up with the contractor, tested pumping to the
35		snowmaking reservoir, disinfected the well and new piping, and
36		scheduled a second start-up for Nov 12 <sup>th</sup> to the Zone 5 storage tank.
37		Still working on the control system.
38		Sierra Nevada Conservancy Grant: The outreach meeting held
39		November 1 <sup>st</sup> was well-attended and well-received.
40		Other Business: Manager Collins, Lew and Buz attended a pump
41		controls and efficiency training class; Manager Collins responded to
42		questions from auditors; and Manager Collins' work was disrupted
43		when his computer crashed.
		•
44		Fire Flow: In response to a question from Director Quinan, Manager
45		Collins said he had received and read the fire flow report from Lumos.
46		The Board should see a draft next month or the following month.
47	F.	Operations & Maintenance Department Report:

		<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1		Buz Bancroft presented a written report for the month of October that
2		included status updates on water, sewer, garbage and other services
3		provided by the District:
4		All routine samples tested negative for coliform bacteria. As
5		expected, Spring 2 had a positive test after the first heavy rain of the
6		season.
7		Staff projects:
8		<ul> <li>Worked with Sierra Controls and Resource Development on the</li> </ul>
9		Well R-1 improvement project;
10		<ul> <li>Completed defensible space clearance on the hillside next to the</li> </ul>
11		office;
12		<ul> <li>Repaired Zone 1 sampling point with new tubing and fittings;</li> </ul>
13		<ul> <li>Finished maintenance on the District fire hydrants.</li> </ul>
14	G.	TTSA Report:
15		Director Northrop reported on items of interest to ASCWD from the
16		November 12 <sup>th</sup> TTSA Board meeting:
17		<ul> <li>All waste water discharge requirements are being met.</li> </ul>
18		<ul> <li>The plant is operating normally and efficiently.</li> </ul>
19		<ul> <li>The agency is looking into the feasibility and cost of ultraviolet</li> </ul>
20		wastewater treatment, which would eliminate the need for chlorine.
21		The next TTSA Board meeting is scheduled for December 10, 2008, at
22		9:00 a.m.
23		bok a break from 10:10 to 10:20.
24	Н.	Committee Reports:
25		i. Budget & Finance Committee: President Danz presented a written
26		report of the Committee's November 13 <sup>th</sup> meeting:
27		a. Status of investments/cash: \$600,000 was transferred from
28		LAIF to Wachovia to purchase CDs. The CDs have been
29 30		purchased. No CDs are coming due until January. b. <b>Status of fiscal year 2008 audit:</b> Most of the Committee meeting
30 31		was spent discussing the draft audit report. The auditors
32		participated in the discussion by telephone. Nancy Boling, CPA,
33		had previously submitted her comments to the auditors. The plan
34		is for the revised draft audit report to be distributed for discussion
35		at the December Board meeting, with the final audit report
36		presented at the January meeting, which will be attended by the
37		auditors, Ron Ley and David Kasperik. Per an earlier Board
38		decision, the June 30, 2007, financials have been revised to
39		reflect the reclassification of the Park Fund from a Proprietary
40		Fund to a General Fund.
41		c. Requests from customers for adjustments to their bills: None.
42		d. Requests for unbudgeted expenses: The Committee
43		recommended approval of three unbudgeted capital expenditures:
44		<ul> <li>Regarding Well R-1: \$2,130 for a Doorguard Intrusion Alarm</li> </ul>
45		Panel (to SCADA);
46		<ul> <li>Regarding Well R-1: \$1,175.38 to Western Pacific Electric for</li> </ul>
47		conduit and wire for security on the doors plus 15% overhead
48		and profit (\$176.31) to Resource Development for a total of
49		\$1,352;

1		<ul> <li>\$8,800 to the Michael Horn Company to restructure the</li> </ul>
2		building pad to increase the soil bearing capacity related to the
3		expansion of the Vehicle Service Building.
4		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the
5		unbudgeted expenditures. Director Nungester SECONDED the
6		motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester, and Quinan being
7		in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
8		<ul> <li>The Committee wanted the Board to know that TTSD has</li> </ul>
9		raised its pick-up rates for the period of 10/1/08 through
10		6/30/09 by three cents (\$.03) per household. This results in a
11		total increase to the District of \$15.24 per quarter.
12		<ul> <li>Bills submitted to the District for expenses associated with the</li> </ul>
13		Sierra Nevada Conservancy (SNC) grant will be reimbursed by
14		SNC; however, the time lag could be up to 75 days. Manager
15		Collins would like authorization to pay some of these bills
16		before the reimbursement funds are received, specifically
17		those with small balances from local vendors. Director
18		Northrop made a MOTION that Manager Collins be authorized
19		to pay SNC bills with small balances from local vendors in a
20		timely manner. Director Nungester SECONDED the motion.
21		Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester and Quinan being in
22		favor, the motion was APPROVED.
23		e. <b>Next meeting:</b> Scheduled for Thursday, December 11 <sup>th</sup> , at 1:00
24		p.m. ii. Long Banga Blanning Committee: There was no mosting
25		ii. Long Range Planning Committee: There was no meeting.
26		iii. <b>Park, Recreation &amp; Greenbelt Committee</b> : There was no meeting.
27 28		<ul> <li>iv. Administration &amp; Personnel Committee: There was no meeting.</li> <li>I. Open Items:</li> </ul>
		I. <u>Open Items</u> : • None.
29 30		J. <u>Correspondence to the Board</u> :
31		<ul> <li>None.</li> </ul>
32	4.	DIRECTORS' COMMENTS
33		<ul> <li>Director Northrop recommended the ethics training session in Truckee.</li> </ul>
34		<ul> <li>Director Quinan expressed disappointment that Ernest Wertheim did not</li> </ul>
35		attend the November 1 <sup>st</sup> meeting regarding the SNC grant. Manager Collins
36		pointed out that Ernest did speak with David Jaramillo and was satisfied by
37		what he learned.
38	5.	CLOSED SESSION
39		None.
40	6.	ADJOURNMENT
41		There being no further business before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at
42		10:40 a.m.
43		
44		Respectfully Submitted, approved as submitted 12/12/08
45		
46		
47		
48		Jancis Martin
49		Recording Secretary