1	4	
1	1.	CALL TO ORDER
2		President Quinan called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.
3		PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
4		Those attending joined in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.
5		ROLL CALL
6		Directors Present: Virginia Quinan, President; Janet S. Grant, Jon Northrop; Don
7		Priest; Evan Salke.
8		<u>Directors Absent</u> : None.
9		Staff Present: John Collins, General Manager; Pam Zinn, Office Manager; Buz
10		Bancroft, Operations & Maintenance; Jancis Martin, Recording Secretary.
11		Guest Present: Pat Davison/CATT; Glenn Spiller/resident; Peter
12		Schweitzer/SquawAlpineAssoc; Chief Mike Schwartz/NTFPD; Nita
13		Wracker/NTFPD; Tim Alameda/NTFPD.
14	2.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
15		Glenn Spiller - speaking as a full-time Alpine Meadows resident, homeowner and
16		voter - addressed the Board, wanting to clarify the intentions of the Squaw Alpine
17		Association about efforts to incorporate Alpine Meadows and Squaw Valley into a
18		single town. Peter Schweitzer, a homeowner in Squaw Valley, was also in
19		attendance and participated in the discussion. Glenn's two points were:
20		• This is not a hostile takeover of Alpine Meadows. The Association only wants
20		Alpine Meadows involved if there is support among its residents. To
22		determine valley-wide interest, they will be holding a meeting at Ken Gracey's
23		house on June 28 at 6:30 p.m. Ken isn't necessarily an advocate for
23 24		incorporation, but feels it is important that there be a valley-wide meeting to
24 25		discuss the topic.
26		The Association proposes no changes to ASCWD or Squaw Valley Public Service District (SV(DSD), Squaw Alpine Association did some investigation
27		Service District (SVPSD). Squaw Alpine Association did some investigation
28		into the issue of LAFCO potentially combining the two utilities if Alpine
29 20		Meadows and Squaw Valley incorporated together. Their findings were that
30		the state of California forbids hostile takeovers of water districts; the only way
31		the two utility districts could be combined would be if 51% of the voters in the
32		District (ASCWD) were to vote in favor of the merger of the utility districts.
33		Peter distributed a description of the statutes involved, showing that ASCWD
34		would not be affected by the incorporation.
35		Further points of discussion were:
36		• Director Grant asked who else received the ballot she received from the
37		Association. Glenn said the notice went to registered voters in Alpine
38		Meadows. The Association also mailed material to some of the valley
39		homeowner associations.
40		Chief Schwartz asked if the Association was aware that one of the impacts of
41		incorporation would be that CalFire would no longer have responsibility to
42		respond to wildland fires in the incorporated area, so NTFPD would have to
43		take on added responsibility. Glenn and Peter said they were not aware of
44		this, thanked Chief Schwartz for the information and said they would discuss
45		it with their consultants.
46		• Director Grant asked who started the incorporation effort. Glenn said there
47		were seven people, and that he was the only Alpine Meadows resident.
48		Director Salke asked who donated the money required to start the
49		incorporation (\$25,000); Glenn said various people contributed the start-up

1		funds. President Quinan asked why the incorporation happened. Glenn said
2		the main issue was local control. President Quinan asked about TOT funds,
3		specifically, how much was generated in Squaw Valley and how much in
4		Alpine Meadows. Peter said Squaw Valley generated \$3M and Alpine
5		Meadows generated \$130K. He said if Alpine Meadows were to join the new
		•
6		town, they would share the TOT funds, although they would have to negotiate
7		with the county about the split. Director Salke asked if all of the Association's
8		financial contributors were homeowners in Alpine Meadows or Squaw Valley;
9		Glenn said they all were except for one contributor from Meeks Bay, who
10		contributed \$27.
11		Director Grant asked if there would be published notes from the June 28
12		meeting. Peter said the information was all available at
13		SquawAlpineAssoc.org.
14	3.	ITEMS FOR BOARD DISCUSSION & ACTION
15		A. Financial Reports:
16		Mike Dobrowski, District CPA, was not able to participate in discussion of
17		this agenda item today.
18		i. May Month-end Financial Statements: Director Northrop made a
19		MOTION that the Board accept the May 31, 2013 month-end financial
20		reports. Director Grant SECONDED the motion. During discussion,
20		Director Priest pointed out that the financial statements included no
21		detailed information showing allocations to reserves. Director Salke
23		suggested there could be two cash flow reports, one showing revenue
24		and expense associated with operations, and a separate one for
25		reserves. Manager Collins said allocations to reserves were only
26		book-kept on paper, that there wasn't a discrete fund for reserves.
27		Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and Salke being in favor, the motion
28		was APPROVED.
29		ii. May Expenses Paid & Payable: The Directors reviewed the monthly
30		check register. Director Grant made a MOTION that the Board
31		approve the Expenses Paid & Payable (the debits for payroll and
32		checks 26839 through 26892). Director Northrop SECONDED the
33		motion. During discussion, Director Grant pointed out the check
34		made out to her for Director's pay was for a larger amount than it
35		should have been; her July check for Director's pay will be adjusted
36		accordingly. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and Salke being in
37		favor, the motion was APPROVED.
38		B. Operating and Capital Budgets for Fiscal Year 2013/2014:
39		Manager Collins explained that the one-page operating budget showed
40		the budget approved by the Board at the May meeting. After its approval,
41		Staff split out the revenues and expenditures by month and Mike then
42		separated these out by fund and generated the multi-page detailed report.
43		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the Operating
44		Budget for Fiscal Year 2013/2014 by fund by month. Director Grant
45		SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and Salke
46		being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
47		Turning to the Capital Budget, President Quinan pointed out that codes
48		had been assigned to the top-ranked projects in the plan, showing the
49		pertinence of projects to the District's service improvement goals.

1 2		Manager Collins explained that the capital budget being considered today included \$200K for the AME Well Project that was missing from the
3		capital budget reviewed at last month's meeting.
4		Director Priest asked Manager Collins to explain the justification for
5		the rankings. Manager Collins said they were in the order that Staff
6		felt were the most important when considering funding; once
7		approved, Staff works on all the projects at once. Director Priest said,
8		in future, he would like to see relevance to need, relating the yearly
9		projects to the goals in the Long Range Plan.
10		 Manager Collins explained the new items:
11		 Pipe locator: necessary as a utility (the current one is not reliable);
12		 Update USFS Special Use Permit: essential to the District's
13		operation as a utility (Director Priest asked if the funds for the
14		Special Use Permit Update should be operations or capital;
15		Manager Collins said the funding for the update was necessary for
16		carrying out any of the capital projects, so it was being treated as
17		a capital expense, but the payment of the annual fee would be an
18		operations expense);
19		 Repairs to Spring 4: water is leaking out the side of the casing, so
20		they need to get a consultant up to help decide what to do;
21		 230,000 and 600,000 Water Storage Tanks: Staff is having the
22		existing tanks inspected this year because the tanks are getting
23		close to the end of their life, Staff needs to get recommendations
24		as to how much use is left in them, the District needs the
25		additional capacity to meet MD, FF, and PHD.
26		 Director Priest asked if the new 8" line would improve fire flow in
27		Juniper Mountain; Manager Collins said yes.
28		Director Grant made a MOTION that the Board approve the Water and
29		Sewer Capital Improvement Plan, dated June 3, 2013. Director Northrop
30		SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and Salke
31	<u>^</u>	being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
32	C.	Approval of Minutes:
33		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the minutes of
34 35		the May 10, 2013, Regular Board of Directors Meeting. Director Priest SECONDED the motion. During discussion, Director Priest asked if
35 36		Manager Collins had an answer from Pam regarding why the expenditure
30 37		for Health Plan Co-Insurance was lower than last year; Manager Collins
38		said he did not have an answer, that he would get the answer from Pam
38 39		and provide it to Director Priest. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and
40		Salke being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
41	D.	Fire Department Report:
42	υ.	Chief Schwartz, Tim Alameda and Nita Wracker from NTFPD were in
43		attendance and reported the following:
44		 Dispatch Calls: There were 4 dispatch call(s) in the valley in May.
44		NTFPD responded to a small fire at Deer Run in early June, keeping it
45		to the initial point of origin.
40		 Fire Station Staffing: NTFPD has staffed the Alpine Meadows fire
47 48		station 178 days so far this fiscal year.
10		station i ro duyo oo tur tilo libbar your.

1	 CalFire: Chief Schwartz explained the intricate network and separate
2	responsibilities of the various agencies responsible for fire protection
3	throughout the state, and in particular in the Tahoe area. For
4	example, the USFS's primary goal is to protect vegetation, while
5	CalFire's is to protect structures and residents. They work well
6	together. CalFire's presence in the Tahoe basin this summer is a
7	welcome strengthening of the network, in terms of fighting fires and in
	terms of taking on more of the financial responsibility for fire fighting.
8	
9	The incorporation proposed by Squaw Alpine Association would
10	increase NTFPD's financial responsibility. Director Grant asked if
11	Calfire was present in the Tahoe basin year-round. Chief Schwartz
12	said legislation currently in front of the Senate would provide for two
13	full-time CalFire positions for the whole year, but the engines would
14	only be here during the summer.
15	 Active Fire Season: Chief Schwartz reported that NTFPD had
16	responded to four brush fires in the last three days, at Sugar Pine
17	Point State Park, Schaffer's Mill, Kingsbury Grade and Timberland.
18	TRPA: adopted a resolution in May supporting the 2013 Tahoe
19	Wildfire Awareness Week, giving recognition to local fire chiefs.
20	 ISO Report: NTFPD went to ISO with a 6-month mitigation plan to
20	improve their rating. If NTFPD's plan is successful, Alpine Meadows
22	ISO rating would improve from its recently assigned 5 to a 4.
22	 Kings Beach fire: Tim mentioned a single 18-gpm fire protection
24	sprinkler head saved four businesses and kept a small fire from
25	spreading and becoming a wildland fire. He said the incident was a
26	good example of how enlightened ASCWD sprinkler code was.
27	 Defensible Space: NTFPD's PIO Dave Zaski did some research and
28	discovered that NTFPD can after all use some of its 50/50 grant funds
29	in Alpine Meadows, so NTFPD will implement fee-based chipper
30	services in Alpine Meadows. If an Alpine Meadows resident wishes to
31	use NTFPD's chipping services, the resident will pay half the cost,
32	which works out to about \$115 per hour, and NTFPD will pay the
33	other half. NTFPD has to schedule the work, can spend no more than
34	30 minutes per pile (in order to be able to get to all piles requiring
35	chipping), and will only charge for the portion of the hour that they
36	work. In future, NTFPD will apply for grants for Alpine Meadows.
37	Pam, on behalf of area residents, asked why NTFPD chips in some
38	areas but not in Alpine Meadows. Tim said the direction came from
39	Dan Lang, who manages Clearinghouse grants in the Tahoe basin.
40	He determined that NTFPD could use their grant funds in Alpine
40	Meadows but that valley residents would have to match the funds.
41 42	Chief Schwartz pointed out that valley homeowner associations could
42 43	• •
	provide the matching funds, and that multiple residents could create a
44	single pile to share costs. NTFPD received a small award from AAA,
45	and will use the funds for defensible space inspections, which would
46	otherwise cost residents \$73 per hour. Director Salke asked if
47	NTFPD would be taking an overall approach to defensible space, by
48	working on high priority areas first. Chief Schwartz said this year
49	NTFPD is focusing in Ward Canyon, and will focus on Alpine

1		Meadows next year. Insurance companies sometimes require
2		defensible space inspections for renewal; NTFPD will now be able to
3		provide these inspections at no cost to the homeowner. Director
4		Salke said Bear Creek has money allocated for defensible space;
		•
5		Chief Schwartz said they could match funds for chipping. Tim will
6		work with Pam to coordinate defensible space work with Bear Creek.
7		 ASCWD contract with NTFPD: the 15-year contract between the
8		Districts provides for like services. However, NTFPD has done
9		research and has found it can and needs to pass on the cost of
10		service to Alpine Meadows residents. Such services include plan
11		checks, sprinkler checks and defensible space inspections. Manager
11		Collins and NTFPD have been communicating on the issue. Manager
13		Collins said he will bring a set of fees to the Board for adoption; they
14		are the same fees that the NTFPD Board approved for its customers.
15		President Quinan asked Chief Schwartz how incorporation would
16		affect ASCWD's fire services. Chief Schwartz said when a town
17		incorporates, they have to provide fire protection. So the new entity
18		would likely contract with either NTFPD or the Squaw Valley Fire
19		Department or build their own fire department. Nita said when the
20		town of Truckee incorporated, they invited the Truckee Fire Protection
21		District to become a city service; Truckee Fire declined, preferring to
22		remain an independent district and contract their services to the new
23		town. The incorporation proposed by Squaw Alpine Association might
24		require a renegotiation or reaffirmation of the current contract between
25		ASCWD and NTFPD if Alpine Meadows were to join the new town.
26		Chief Schwartz said some of the decisions to be made would be how
20		to manage fire services within the combined area and how to manage
		•
28		the extra responsibility for protecting state lands from wildland fires.
29		He said he assumed LAFCO would put pressure on the newly
30		incorporated town to employ a single fire service.
31		Alpine Sierra: Tim met with Doug Clyde of Alpine Sierra, regarding the
32		development's "will serve" letter. Alpine Sierra has agreed to the
33		proposed roads, water supply infrastructure, provision of a Type 1 fire
34		engine to be housed in the local station, and to bear the costs of the
35		defensible space inspections, sprinkler checks and plan checks.
36		Chief Schwartz said NTFPD's greatest concern has been egress.
37		Alpine Sierra has addressed the egress concerns by 1) agreeing to
38		make the main road match Placer County road requirements (22 feet
39		wide with shoulders), wide enough to get civilians out and fire trucks
40		in simultaneously and 2) increasing the diameter of some of the
41	-	turnarounds and providing safe zones and defensible space.
42	Ε.	Resolution 5-2013: Capital Facilities and Fire Mitigation Fee
43		Expenditure Plan:
44		Pat Davison of Contractors Association of Truckee Tahoe (CATT) and
45		Chief Schwartz, Tim Alameda and Nita Wracker of NTFPD were in
46		attendance for ASCWD's update to its Capital Facilities and Fire
47		Mitigation Fee Expenditure Plan ("the Plan"). At 9:30 a.m., President
48		Quinan opened the public hearing to obtain input on the Plan.

1	•	Nita Wracker, NTFPD's Administrative Manager, provided a summary
2		of the update. She said state law requires a five-year update to the
3		Plan, to reset the plan by evaluating what the funds have been used
4		for and to plan for future needs. NTFPD hired a consultant, Goodwin
5		Consulting Group, to draft the update. She said the five-year update
6		provides ASCWD with a chance to reconsider its priorities. For
7		instance, NTFPD had previously included a utility/command vehicle
8		and an ambulance in ASCWD's Plan, but considering the status of
9		development in the valley, until the developer (Alpine Sierra) actually
10		provides the agreed-upon funding for a Type 1 engine, NTFPD
11		recommends keeping the funds in the plan for the purchase of a Type
12		1 engine. The five-year update also resets the fees; during the annual
13		updates, NTFPD considers adjusting fees according to an inflationary
14		index, but the five-year update provides them with the opportunity to
15		reset them. If the Board adopts the Plan update today, it will go to the
16		Placer County Board of Supervisors for consideration at their July
17		meeting and the fees would go into effect 60 days later (September
18		22 or 23).
19	•	Nita said NTFPD has worked tirelessly with Pat Davison to address
20		CATT's concerns with the Plan. A difference of opinion that NTFPD
21		only learned about yesterday afternoon involves the projected Alpine
22		Meadows population growth rate of 1.5%, upon which the update is
23		based. CATT feels the rate is artificially high, NTFPD feels the rate is
24		valid and will have a smoothing effect, keeping fees fairly level, rather
25		than requiring sizeable annual adjustments upwards and downwards.
26		Pat Davison thanked the Board for the opportunity to address the
27		Board on behalf of CATT. She provided the Board with a six-page
28		document containing a two-page recap of CATT's policy regarding
29		mitigation fees, one page of typos and recommended small changes
30		to the Plan, and three pages of substantive comments. Her major
31		recommendations were:
32		1) Phase in the increases over two years, to lessen the impact the
33		first year: Pat proposed a 7% increase this year with the
34		remainder of the increase being implemented next year. She said
35		this would keep the fee increases in line with the sub-10%
36		increases ASCWD has implemented in the past.
37		2) Omit the inflationary adjustment in January 2014: The 2013
38		increase will take effect at the end of the building season this year,
39		so next year NTFPD/ASCWD would be making an inflationary
40		adjustment at the same time as the implementation of the second half of this year's increase. Pat is supportive of inflationary
41 42		, , , , ,
		adjustments, however with such a large increase this year perhaps the Board should put off making an inflationary
43		adjustment in 2014.
44		-
45 46		 Address the annual 1.5% growth rate: Pat said she has been meeting with other organizations to try to understand the
47		seemingly high growth rate. She said she felt the number
48		undermines the integrity of the Plan. She also suggested that
49		there should be an explanation in the Plan that, since the fee
12		

1	amount is not sufficient to purchase the engine in the indicated
2	time frame, general funds will have to be supplied to purchase the
3	engine. Pat suggested a few options to remedy CATT's concern
4	about the growth rate and requested that the ASCWD Board give
5	her 30 days to meet with NTFPD staff to determine which option
6	to implement: a) keep the rate of growth the same in the Plan
7	update but acknowledge up front that some amount of general
8	funds would be needed to offset the fire engine cost; b) reduce the
9	rate of growth and reduce the costs attributed to new growth,
10	assuming general funds pay a portion of the cost of the new
11	engine; or c) reduce the rate of growth but keep the same cost
12	attributed to new growth, which would have the effect of raising
13	fees - CATT strongly opposes this option.
14	4) Provide missing information: Pat said the Plan contained no
15	information regarding the levels of service for the equipment, or what build out is for all land use categories or any explanation of
16 17	how realistic the population numbers are to remaining vacant land.
18 19	 Pat said CATT works with other organizations to keep increases small and offered to provide information about other agencies who have
20	phased in fees, per her first recommendation. Nita said she was
20 21	unaware of any other fire agencies that have phased in fees.
22	 Director Northrop asked about the excessive expense of fire
23	protection sprinklering. The NTFPD representatives explained that
24	sprinklering helps protect neighbors and save lives.
25	 Pat said since most contractors do their planning work months in
26	advance, having extra expense mid-project passes the burden on to
27	the homeowner, who may not have obtained sufficient financing for
28	their project. Nita said because the Plan came out in draft form in
29	April, contractors will have had five months notice by the time the fees
30	take effect so there will be no surprises.
31	• During discussion about the funding of the Type 1 engine in the Plan,
32	Nita pointed out that if Alpine Sierra provides the Type 1 engine,
33	NTFPD will remove the engine from the Plan. If Alpine Sierra does
34	not provide the engine, it will take until 2035 to fund the engine with
35	mitigation fees, but Nita added that because the fee collection process
36	is so slow, usually the fire service has to use general funds to
37	complete such a purchase.
38	Director Salke asked what would be done with the existing Alpine
39	Meadows engine after the Type 1 engine was purchased. Chief
40	Schwartz said he was not sure yet, but that he would prefer to hang
41	onto it. Type 1 engines are housed throughout the NTFPD area and
42	NTFPD will continue to purchase more Type 1 engines because
43	smaller engines cannot adequately fight fires in homes as large as
44	those being built these days.
45	At 10:00 a.m., President Quinan closed the public hearing.
46	• Director Priest said he was in favor of giving CATT and NTFPD thirty
47	days to discuss the issues further.
48	• Chief Schwartz said he would support either approach: approving the
49	Plan and moving forward, or continuing to work with CATT. He said

1		he believed in the integrity of the 1.5% growth rate figure and felt that
2		lowering it would raise fees. He said there seemed to be three
3		options: 1) adopt the Plan with the proposed increase and move
4		forward, 2) adopt it and phase in the increases over two years, or 3)
5		hold off on approval of the Plan today and allow NTFPD and CATT
6		another month to work the issues.
7		 Nita pointed out that, if the Board requested a rework of the Plan,
8		ASCWD would have to absorb the cost of getting the contractor
9		involved in the rework, but that changing the Plan would not be
10		considered a rework and would not incur an added expense. She
11		added that NTFPD only received CATT's letter yesterday so hasn't
12		had a chance to look at the data.
13		 President Quinan asked Manager Collins what his recommendation
14		would be. He recommended that the Board adopt the Plan and
15		forward it to the County for approval.
16		 Director Grant said the Board could approve the Plan with the
17		requested two-year phasing of fee increases and NTFPD would know
18		whether the phasing would work before the Plan reached the County
19		for approval. The phasing would not affect the County's decision
20		about whether to adopt the plan.
21		Director Grant made a MOTION that the Board adopt Resolution 5-2013:
22		Capital Facilities and Fire Mitigation Fee Expenditure Plan, as presented
23		with a two-year phase-in period for the fees, pending legal review.
24		Director Northrop SECONDED the motion. Roll was called to approve
25		the Resolution. Ayes: Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest, Salke and
26		President Quinan. Noes: none. The Resolution was APPROVED.
27		Chief Schwartz asked Pat to provide NTFPD with information about the
28	_	fee phase-in. NTFPD will take the lead in obtaining legal review.
29	F.	General Manager's Report:
30		John Collins, General Manager, provided a written report on his activities
31		during the month of May, with discussion on the following topics:
32		Avalanche Risk Reduction Report: Manager Collins received a
33		response from District Counsel regarding Tim Longo's request to
34		grade District property along Alpine Meadows Road for avalanche
35		mitigation purposes. District Counsel said if the District approves any
36		work, it would have to be part of a fully engineered set of
37		improvements; anything short of that would increase the District's
38		liability. Manager Collins will communicate the information to Tim
39		
40		AME Well Re-drill: Today the Board will consider approval of the
41		engineering work associated with the well re-drill. The engineer will
42		generate plans, then, using the plans, Manager Collins will obtain bids
43		for the drilling. The next thing the Board will see is a contract with a
44		driller. After the well is drilled, the engineers will come in and perform
45		a pump test, discharging the water from the well to the sewer (at a sect of $120,000$). With respect to obtaining a restrictive assessment
46		cost of \$36,000). With respect to obtaining a restrictive easement
47		from the owners of 1402 Beaver Dam Trail, Manager Collins is
48		meeting with them at the job site in two weeks. One of the four
49		property owners wants compensation for the restrictive easement.

1		Manager Collins will provide them with an estimated compensation,
2		which is normally 10-15% of the land value for the area affected,
3		which is about 3,000 square feet. Compensation would amount to a
4		few hundred dollars. Manager Collins is still attempting to talk the
5		homeowner out of his request.
6	G.	Operations & Maintenance Department Report:
	в.	
7		Buz presented a written report for the month of May that included status
8		updates on water, sewer, garbage and other services provided by the
9		District.
10		Maintenance: Staff worked on repair and adjustment of the Cub Lane
11		distribution valve (it is used to pump water from Zone 2 to Zone 3 and
12		has had a leak); worked on the FY 2013/2014 budget; opened the
13		Park; continued training on use of the laptop remote meter read
14		system; and installed a new water line for the Alpine Meadows
15		stables.
16		• 23-25% of meters show some sign of leakage. Staff will be working
17		with homeowners to inform them about the amount of their leak.
18		 All routine water samples were absent coliform bacteria.
18	Tho B	oard took a break between 10:00 a.m. and 10:10 a.m.
	H.	
20	п.	Resolution 7-2013: SDRMA 2013 Board of Directors Election:
21		The Directors reviewed the material provided by SDRMA regarding the
22		upcoming SDRMA election. Director Grant said SDRMA was well run, so
23		voting for incumbents would probably be a good idea. Director Priest
24		made a MOTION that the Board approve Resolution 7-2013: SDRMA
25		2013 Board of Directors Election, nominating Jean Bracy, Muril Clift,
26		David Aranda and Mike Scheafer to the SDRMA Board. Director Grant
27		SECONDED the motion. Roll was called to approve the Resolution.
28		Ayes: Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest, Salke and President Quinan.
29		Noes: none. The Resolution was APPROVED.
30	Ι.	Resolution 6-2013: Establishing Appropriations Limits for the Fiscal
31		<u>Year July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2014:</u>
32		The Board reviewed the Resolution. Director Priest asked where
33		Manager Collins obtained the numbers. Manager Collins said one ratio
34		comes from the State, the other from Placer County. Director Grant
35		made a MOTION that the Board approve Resolution 6-2013: Establishing
36		Appropriations Limits for the Fiscal Year July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2014.
37		Director Northrop SECONDED the motion. Roll was called to approve
38		the Resolution. Ayes: Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest, Salke and
39		President Quinan. Noes: none. The Resolution was APPROVED.
40	J.	On Call Professional Engineering Services:
	J.	
41		Manager Collins said he needs help from time to time with engineering
42		issues, since ASCWD has no engineer on staff. Approval of this contract
43		with Stantec Consulting Services would give him the ability to obtain their
44		assistance with small projects, which would cost less than keeping an
45		engineer on staff, and allow him access to engineering services on a
46		more timely basis than if he has to bring every request to the Board first.
47		Manager Collins said he could include the cost of their services in his
48		monthly manager's report. Director Salke asked if the cap of \$5,000 per
49		occurrence would constrain Manager Collins; Manager Collins said no.

1		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the contract
2		with Stantec Engineers to provide professional engineering services on a
3		time and expense basis, in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 per
4		occurrence as may be requested by the General Manager, such
5		expenses to be reported to the Board on a monthly basis. Director Grant
		• •
6		SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and Salke
7		being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
8	К.	US Forest Service Surveying Services:
9		Manager Collins said this contract is to survey all the District's assets on
10		USFS property. He spoke with Andregg Geomatics and with Webb Land
11		Surveying, and felt Andregg was the more appropriate contractor for the
12		job, as well as being the less expensive of the two. The contract totals
13		just under \$20,000. Director Priest asked if the contracts being
14		considered for approval today were consistent with any District policy for
14		competitive bidding. Manager Collins said yes, because state law for
		county water districts exempts the District from competitive bidding.
16 17		
17		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the contract
18		with Andregg Geomatics to provide surveying services in the preparation
19		and updating of the District's Special Use Permits with the Forest Service.
20		Director Priest SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest
21		and Salke being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
22	L.	Phase II AME Replacement Well Professional Services:
23		 Manager Collins said this contract will provide the District with
24		engineering services through getting the well drilled and the pump
25		test.
26		 The contract provides for getting a permit for the pump test water
20		discharge, but Manager Collins is working on a less expensive way to
27		discharge, probably into the sewer system. The pump test is required
29		because the well is obtaining water from a fractured rock aquifer,
30		which sometimes provides flow for a few days and then stops. The
31		state requires that the District do its pump test during the worst period
32		for the aquifer (the fall) and for a long enough time to prove the flow
33		won't stop when the well is installed.
34		 If the test pump fails, the District can probably still use the well but
35		would have to pump at a slower rate.
36		The cost of the entire project will be about \$500K.
37		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the contract
38		with Stantec Engineers for the completion of Phase II of the AME
39		replacement well, in an amount not to exceed \$67,000. Director Priest
		SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and Salke
40		
41		being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
42	М.	FY 2013/2014 Goals and Objectives:
43		President Quinan: Regarding General Manager Goals and Objectives
44		item 3iii, President Quinan objected to the use of the word
45		"Alternative", pointing out that the District has to do the project,
46		whichever version they end up pursuing (i.e., using Troy Caldwell's
47		easement or using the alternative alignment).
48		Director Priest provided a re-write for items 2 and 3 under General
49		Manager Goals and Objectives, leaving the rest of the document as
.,		

1		prepared by Director Salke. Director Salke said he would incorporate
2		Director Priest's revisions for items 2 and 3 and make the revised
3		document available to the Directors and Pam. Pam will place it on the
4		District website.
5		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the FY
6		2013/2014 Goals and Objectives as presented with Director Priest's
7		changes. Director Priest SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant,
8		Northrop, Priest and Salke being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
9	Ν.	Mission Statement:
10		 Director Priest suggested that the word "adequate" be inserted in the
11		first mission statement. Item 1 would read "adequate, clean, safe and
12		dependable drinking water".
13		 Director Salke will modify the document and provide copies to the
14		Directors and to Pam. Pam will place it on the District website.
15		Director Grant made a MOTION that the Board approve the Mission
16		Statement with the addition of the word "adequate" to item 1. Director
17		Northrop SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and
18		Salke being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
19	Ο.	Employees' Manual:
20		 Manager Collins recommended that the Board approve the Manual. It
21		is updated every two years. It was modified by former Board
22		President Barbara Danz (whose professional expertise was in labor
23		law) before she retired from the Board last year, and has been
24		reviewed by the Administration & Personnel Committee, Staff and
25		District Counsel. Most of the changes involved modifying legalese to
26		comply with state law. Staff is happy with the changes to the Manual.
27		 Manager Collins will distribute District Counsel's comments to the
28		Directors. The changes were made about 9 months ago, so he does
29		not remember the particulars at this time.
30		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the
31		Employees' Manual update. Director Priest SECONDED the motion.
32		Directors Northrop, Priest and Salke being in favor (and Director Grant
33	-	abstaining), the motion was APPROVED.
34	Q.	TTSA Report:
35		There has been no TTSA Board meeting since the last ASCWD Board
36	-	meeting. The next TTSA Board meeting is scheduled for June 19.
37	R.	Committee Reports:
38		i. Budget & Finance Committee: President Quinan presented a written
39		report of yesterday's Committee meeting.
40		a. Non-standard Transactions: None.
41		b. Budgets: The Committee reviewed the FY 2013/2014 draft
42		Capital Budget and the draft Operations Budget by month by fund.
43		The CPI for April - 1.282% - replaced the placeholder of 1.5% in
44		the budget for salaries. The Committee recommended approval
45		of the Operations and Capital Budgets.
46		c. Investments/cash: Per the Committee's recommendation,
47		Director Northrop made a motion that the Board authorize
48		Manager Collins to roll over the \$105,000 CD maturing June 20,
49		2013, at the best possible rate. Director Priest SECONDED the

1		motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Priest and Salke being in
2		favor, the motion was APPROVED.
3		d. Requests from Customers for Adjustments to their Bills:
4		None.
5		e. Requests for Unbudgeted Expenses: Per the Committee's
6		recommendation, Director Northrop made a motion the Board
7		authorize the unbudgeted expenditure of \$189 to purchase a leaf
8		blower. Director Priest SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant,
9		Northrop, Priest and Salke being in favor, the motion was
10		APPROVED.
11		f. Next meeting: Scheduled for Wednesday, July 10 at 9:30 a.m.
12		ii. Administration & Personnel Committee: No meeting.
13		iii. Park, Recreation & Greenbelt Committee: No meeting.
14		iv. Long Range Planning Committee:
15		 Manager Collins provided an overview of the District's Capital
16		Improvement Plan and requested feedback from the Directors.
17		The goal is to have a document to use for a presentation at the
18		valley-wide meeting this summer, and for the District to have such
19		a document in place.
20		 Director Priest said he would like the District to be able to show
21		progress, on a percentage basis, against this document with each
22		annual budget. Manager Collins said he is already working on a
23		way of showing progress toward meeting fire flow demand.
24		 Director Salke asked if the District had a spreadsheet showing
25		assets and their life span and when replacement was needed and
26		what the cost would be. Manager Collins said it was all in the
27		depreciation schedule, but that it was currently pretty cryptic. He
28		is updating the spreadsheet as newer projects are completed.
29		 Director Priest said the list of capital improvements raises an
30		interesting issue. The capital projects shown in the recently
31		approved rate study do not match those in the approved Capital
32		Improvement Plan. He would like to build consistency into the
33		District's planning over time. He would also like the projects
34		separated into categories for improvements (e.g., Fire, Park,
35		Water, Sewer, General, etc.).
36		 Director Priest congratulated Manager Collins for his progress on
37		the document and for doing a great job in controlling cost.
38		 President Quinan reminded the Directors to provide feedback to
39		Manager Collins.
40	Ρ.	FY 2012/2013 Board Performance Review:
41		Director Salke said he reviewed the feedback from the Directors, and that
42		it was positive, with no disparaging remarks. President Quinan went over
43		the individual items and summarized by saying the collective opinion
44	~	showed that the Board works pretty well most of the time.
45	S.	Open Items:
46		• The next Board meeting was changed from its normal date and time
47		to Thursday, July 11 at 8:30 a.m., with the Budget & Finance
48	-	Committee to meet Wednesday, July 10 at 9:30 a.m.
49	Т.	Correspondence to the Board: None.

ALPINE SPRINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT MINUTES OF THE REGULAR BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

Pursuant to notice given, the regular meeting of the Board of Directors, Alpine Springs County Water District, was held Friday, June 14, 2013, at 8:30 a.m., District Board Room, 270 Alpine Meadows Road.

4. **DIRECTORS' COMMENTS** 1 Director Grant said the Bird Walk had 22 attendees this year, vs. 1 last year. 2 She asked a representative to poll the attendees and determined that more 3 than half of them were Alpine Meadows residents, and the ones that weren't 4 5 were mostly guests of the residents. Two notices were sent out. One was a notice in the Sierra Sun's "What's Happening" section and Pam sent out a 6 reminder notice to the community about a week before. The walk was very 7 successful. The age of the attendees ranged from children to 80-year-olds. 8 President Quinan said that the incorporation effort was gaining steam. She 9 requested that Board members attend the June 28 meeting if possible and 10 said that, before the incorporation came to a vote, the Board should ultimately 11 decide if it needs to take a position. 12 5. **CLOSED SESSION** 13 GOV'T CODE SECTION 54957: PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE 14 EVALUATION. 11:25 a.m. - 11:45 a.m: the Board reviewed the General 15 16 Manager and renewed his contract with a merit increase of 1.282%. ADJOURNMENT 6. 17 There being no further business before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 18 11:49 a.m. 19 20 21 Respectfully Submitted, approved with amendment 7/11/13 22 23 24 Jancis Martin 25 **Recording Secretary** 26