1	1.	CALL TO ORDER
2		President Danz called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.
3		PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
4		Those attending joined in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.
5		ROLL CALL
6		Directors Present: Barbara Danz, President; Virginia Quinan, Vice President;
7		Janet S. Grant; Jon Northrop; Charles Nungester
8		Directors Absent: None.
9		Staff Present: John Collins, General Manager; Buz Bancroft, Maintenance
10		Supervisor; Jancis Martin, Recording Secretary
11		Guests Present: Dwane Milnes/Citygate; Stewart Gary/Citygate; Duane
12		Whitelaw/NTFPD; John Pang/Meeks Bay Fire District; Shirley A. Ellis/AMEA
13		The numbering of the following items matches the original order of the
14		scheduled items in the meeting agenda. However, to take best advantage
15		of meeting participants' time, the items were dealt with in a different order
16	•	during today's meeting, as seen below.
17	2.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
18	•	
19	3.	ITEMS FOR BOARD DISCUSSION & ACTION
20		A. <u>Financial Report</u> :
21		Mike Dobrowski, District CPA, participated in discussion of this agenda
22		item by telephone. He reported that:
23		The District and its auditors agreed to adjust year-to-date depreciation
24		expense upwards by \$20,000.
25		The April property tax revenues were higher than anticipated.
26		• The District's cash position remains positive. It is \$92,000 higher than
27		at this time last year.
28		The Budget & Finance Committee has been working on next fiscal
29		year's budget. A preliminary budget should be ready by next month.
30		<ul> <li>In response to a question from Director Grant, Mike said the year-to-</li> </ul>
31		date figure for "Office Expense" is high because of the New Year's
32		flood damage and the repairs and maintenance required (replacing
33		carpet, etc.) The District has been reimbursed for much of the
34		expense; the reimbursement is included in "Other Revenue".
35		i. Financial Statements – May 8, 2006: Director Northrop made a
36		MOTION that the Board accept the financial statements, dated May 8,
37		2006, as presented. Director Nungester SECONDED the motion. All
38		Directors being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
39		ii. Expenses Paid & Payable – May 8, 2006: The Directors reviewed
40		the monthly check register. President Danz pointed out that there
41		were two checks made out to the office cleaners so one of the checks
42		was voided (22395). There was also a check representing a progress
43		payment to Lumos. That check (22374) was voided since, by contract,
44		Lumos is to be paid only on completion of a task. Director Northrop
45		made a MOTION that the Board approve the Expenses Paid &
46		Payable (checks 22355 through 22405, with the exception of voided
47		checks 22395 and 22374). Director Nungester SECONDED the
48		motion. All Directors being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.

1	В.	<u>Approval of Minutes for the Regular Board Meeting held on April 14,</u>
2		2006:
3		Director Grant made a MOTION that the Board approve the minutes of
4		the April 14, 2006, Regular Board of Directors Meeting. Director Quinan
5		SECONDED the motion. In discussion, the following changes were
6		proposed:
7		<ul> <li>Page 3, line 26: insert "for remodels" after "punitive"</li> </ul>
8		• Page 3, line 41: remove "to" at the beginning of the line
9		<ul> <li>Page 4, line 33: replace "progress" with "process"</li> </ul>
10		<ul> <li>Page 6, line 22: replace "June 10" with "June 12"</li> </ul>
11		<ul> <li>Page 9, line 48: relabel item "e" as "c"</li> </ul>
12		Director Grant amended her MOTION for the Board to approve the
12		minutes with the proposed changes. Director Northrop SECONDED the
14		amended motion. All Directors being in favor, the amended motion was
15		APPROVED.
16	Ι.	TTSA Report:
17		Director Northrop provided a written report on items of interest to ASCWD
18		from the May 10, 2006, Board meeting of the Tahoe-Truckee Sanitation
19		Agency:
20		• The plant expansion is progressing as planned.
21		The plant is operating normally.
22		• The next TTSA Board meeting is scheduled for June 14, 2006, at 9:00
23		a.m.
24	J.	Resolution Re Vacancies on Board of Directors:
25		The Board reviewed the resolution to submit to Placer County regarding
26		upcoming Board vacancies. Blanks on the resolution were filled out
27		appropriately:
28		The number of the resolution is "3-2006"
29		• Page 3, item 6: there should be an "x" to indicate no District boundary
30		changes
31		• Page 4: the first blank line should say "Alpine Springs County Water"
32		• Page 4: the names of the three incumbents are "Charles Nungester",
33		"Barbara Danz" and "Janet Grant" – there is no division number and
34		under "Regular/Short Term", "Regular" should be filled in for each
35		incumbent
36		<ul> <li>Page 4, item 2: the first blank should say "06"</li> </ul>
37		• Page 4, item 3: the first blank should say "200", the second blank
38		should say "candidate" and the third blank should say "lot"
39		• Page 4, item 4: there should be an "x" to indicate no District boundary
40		changes
41		• Page 4, item 5: the blank should say "requested"
42		Director Grant made a MOTION that the Board adopt Resolution 3-2006,
43		Notice of Governing Board Member Election, with the blanks filled in as
44		indicated. Director Northrop SECONDED the motion. Roll was called to
45		approve the Resolution. Ayes: Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester and
46		Quinan and President Danz. Nays: none. The Resolution PASSED.

1	G.	Review of Performance of Board and General Manager:
2		The form to review the Board and General Manager was distributed so
3		that the review can be completed at the July Board meeting. President
4		Danz requested that the Directors and General Manager fill out the forms
5		and submit them in sealed envelopes by June 30 <sup>th</sup> to Pam to be relayed
6		to Director Nungester. Director Nungester will schedule a meeting of the
7		Administration & Personnel Committee to be held between June 30 <sup>th</sup> and
8		July 14 <sup>th</sup> , so the Committee can review the forms and summarize them for
9		presentation at the July Board meeting. The Committee meeting will be
10		closed but the presentation to the Board in July will be public, with the
11		review of the General Manager to take place in closed session. The
12		presented form was approved last fiscal year for use this fiscal year. Pam
13		will reformat the form with more blank space and email it to the Directors
14	-	and Manager Collins.
15	C.	Fire Department Report:
16		Chief Whitelaw was in attendance and discussed the following items:
17		Operations Chief Rod Collins retired last Friday. The North Tahoe
18		Fire Protection District has a succession plan in place to replace him.
19		April Dispatch Report: There were 18 calls in April, all at the ski area.
20		A third of them were medical aid calls.
21		Volunteer Recognition Barbecue: Planning for the July 1 <sup>st</sup> event is
22		moving forward. Chief Whitelaw has ordered the recognition plaque.
23		The invitations have been sent out; unfortunately addresses for five of
24		the honorees are unknown. Pam has received five acceptances.
25 26		There was discussion about the format of the event: e.g., who might be a resource for information, who might speak, etc. President Danz
20		suggested that the Fire Committee have a meeting a few days before
28		the barbeque to finalize plans.
29		<ul> <li>Valley Fire Safe Council or Chapter: Chief Whitelaw said he did not</li> </ul>
30		know which direction Valley homeowner associations would be taking
31		following last month's presentation by Don Nelson, i.e., whether they
32		would affiliate with NTFPD's council or directly with Placer County.
33		He suggested that the topic be discussed at the Fire Committee
34		meeting. President Danz recommended that the homeowners'
35		associations determine how AM should proceed. Director Quinan
36		reported that John Moise, president of AMEA, contacted the other
37		Valley homeowners' association presidents to suggest that they meet
38		soon to discuss the topic. President Danz requested that Director
39		Quinan report the results of the meeting to the Board.
40		Chipper Program: The program will start in a couple of weeks and is
41		available to homeowners in the Valley.
42		<ul> <li>Defensible Space Inspections: Chief Whitelaw is still looking for</li> </ul>
43		someone in Alpine Meadows to help with defensible space
44		inspections in the community this summer. Anyone who is interested
45		in the position should contact him. The pay is \$10-15 an hour
46		(depending on experience); training will be provided; and the job takes
47		40 to 100 hours. This year the defensible space requirement is 100
48		feet, rather than 30 feet as in past years. Chief Whitelaw knows this
49		is a big change for homeowners and views this summer as a time of

1	education. Until now, the inspections have been courtesy inspections,
2	requesting compliance. It is possible that soon the results of the
3	inspections may be enforced; if so, it is a time-consuming process and
4	will involve assistance from the Forest Service.
5	The Board took a break between 9:21 and 9:29.
6	L. Fire Alternatives:
7	Dwane Milnes and Stewart Gary from Citygate were in attendance to lead
8	the Directors through the evaluation of alternatives for provision of fire
9	services in Alpine Meadows. A summary of their presentation follows:
10	There have been profound changes affecting volunteer fire fighting
11	departments in California. Some of the changes have been legal in
12	nature (OSHA and National Fire Protection Association rules affecting
13	training and staffing requirements) while others are socio-economic
14	(two-income families have less time to volunteer; an increasingly
15	commuter-oriented economy means potential volunteers work outside
16	the areas where they live and would like to serve.)
17	<ul> <li>Fire Deployment Issues: Multiple units are needed to deliver enough</li> </ul>
18	firefighters in a reasonable period of time: a minimum of 14-15
19	firefighters are needed within a 10-minute total response time to keep
20	the fire small and localized.
21	<ul> <li>Fire Department Staffing: There are no remaining Alpine volunteers.</li> </ul>
22	The District has one Type III engine and tools in a single station.
23	NTFPD dispatches one engine and one ambulance as needed; Alpine
24	also has an automatic aid agreement with Squaw Valley.
25	<ul> <li>ASCWD Fiscal Issues: Based on data from the audit reports, the</li> </ul>
26	District's general fund reserves have been declining. Fees from
27	enterprise funds cannot fund fire service. Property tax revenues used
28	for fire service have declined. The District pays approximately
29	\$70,000 from property taxes to fund fire services; if property taxes are
30	not used to underwrite the cost of the other services, approximately
31	\$400,000 would be available.
32	<ul> <li>Most one- and two-station fire departments in California have</li> </ul>
33	disappeared. The legal, training and cost issues are too high for small
34	departments to operate independently. The cost of operating other
35	one-station fire departments: Squaw Valley (one three-person station,
36	\$1,565,044), Meeks Bay (one one-two person station, \$938,000),
37	Suisun City (one one-person station, \$606,820).
38	Options for ASCWD to operate its own fire department:     Separate Fire Department: Two person engine company plus fire
39	<ul> <li>Separate Fire Department: Two-person engine company plus fire chief (40 hours a week, on call), contract for incident command</li> </ul>
40	
41 42	backup when fire chief is unavailable: \$716,000 per year (seven people, with perhaps some backup by volunteers)
42 43	
43 44	<ul> <li>Contract for Service: Full-time two-person engine company, part- time chief, contract for incident command: \$577,000 per year</li> </ul>
44 45	<ul> <li>Contract for Service: Half-year two-person engine company (two</li> </ul>
45	people in the station 24/7), part-time chief, contract for incident
40 47	command: \$340,000 per year
• /	

1 •	Comparative special tax rates: Squaw Valley \$1,100 per parcel per
2	year; Northstar \$925 per parcel per year; NTFPD \$600 per parcel per
3	year
4	Options for ASCWD:
5	<ul> <li>Option 1 - Implement a District Special Tax: approximately 550</li> </ul>
6	equivalent parcels (plus commercial parcels) at a rate of \$600 per
7	parcel = \$330,000 plus commercial parcels will add approximately
8	10-20% more.
9	• Option 2: Annex/contract for fire service with NTFPD. Negotiate
10	whether to shift a portion of property tax from ASCWD to NTFPD.
11	NTFPD special tax will likely apply as part of the terms of
12	annexation/contracting.
13	<ul> <li>Citygate does not recommend ASCWD having its own fire</li> </ul>
14	department. The volunteers do not have adequate training,
15	support or backup incident command. ASCWD may also be
16	exposed to significant liability in operating its own department,
17	which would not be the case if fire services were contracted or
18	annexed to another district.
19 •	Citygate's Findings - the District needs:
20	<ul> <li>to make sure it provides safe service and limit liability;</li> </ul>
21	<ul> <li>an initial firefighting force that can keep small fires small;</li> </ul>
22	<ul> <li>a total firefighting force (on-duty plus recalled volunteers) that can</li> </ul>
22 23	slow the escalation of the emergency, until more distant mutual
23	aid resources can arrive;
25	
26	•
27	to state-of-the-art levels in order to mitigate the frequency of large
28	fires that will rapidly outstrip the ability of a small fire department;
29	<ul> <li>a department that can provide ongoing fire inspections to</li> </ul>
30	commercial and industrial properties and public education
31	programs to residents to be "fire safe" and thus also lower the
32	frequency and severity of fires; and
33	<ul> <li>a department that can help District residents prepare and respond</li> </ul>
34	effectively during times of large disasters in the District.
35 •	The District is not legally required to fight fires at the ski area, or to
36	respond to ski injuries. If the District is to provide basic EMS and fire
37	services to the ski area, funding should be shared by the ski area
38	owner, who can recoup his costs by raising the cost of ski tickets.
39 •	In summary:
40	<ul> <li>Citygate finds that Alpine Springs does not have minimal fire</li> </ul>
41	services.
42	<ul> <li>Citygate finds that given Alpine Springs limited ability to raise</li> </ul>
43	revenue based on the few number of parcels, the District likely
44	can not raise the funds needed for its own fire services.
45	• The best option for Alpine Springs is to fairly annex (or contract for
46	service) to an adjoining fire district with stipulations for service
47	levels.
48 •	Service level recommendations for ASCWD in a potential contracting
48 49	or annexation of services:
47	

1	<ul> <li>Two career firefighters should be on duty during the peak call-for-</li> </ul>
2	service weeks of the year (half time).
3	<ul> <li>The present station and engine can stay and be staffed when a</li> </ul>
4	larger department's volunteers arrive; however, the decision about
5	retaining and staffing the station would ultimately be made by the
6	contracting or annexing fire department.
7	<ul> <li>A department that has the capacity and trained leadership to</li> </ul>
8	deliver adequate minimum services to the Valley.
9	Next steps:
10	<ul> <li>Absorb the recommendations in detail.</li> </ul>
11	<ul> <li>Direct Staff to determine which fire provider can best expand their</li> </ul>
12	service area and taxation authority into Alpine Meadows.
13	<ul> <li>Work with the community to design, educate and successfully</li> </ul>
14	pass a revenue measure that will sustain fire operations to Alpine
15	Meadows from the present until build-out. Dwane pointed out that
16	the cost of public safety service increases about three percent
17	higher than the cost of inflation, a factor to keep in mind when
18	trying to determine the cost of funding fire services in future years.
19	• President Danz asked, in regard to the seasonal staffing model, what
20	times of year would require higher staffing. Chief Whitelaw said it was
21	summer and winter.
22	<ul> <li>President Danz asked if Citygate can assist with negotiations with</li> </ul>
23	NTFPD; Stewart said yes. Dwane said Citygate can help with equity
24	issues. Stewart pointed out that Citygate's costs can be minimized by
25	District Staff doing upfront work where feasible and having Citygate
26	reviewing preliminary documents and participating in conference calls,
27	rather than coming to Alpine Meadows for meetings. In response to a
28	question by President Danz, Citygate said it would have no conflict of
29	interest if asked to help negotiate with NTFPD. Chief Whitelaw said if
30	ASCWD were to choose to work toward annexation with NTFPD,
31	NTFPD would probably share the cost of using Citygate's services
32	during negotiations.
33	Chief Whitelaw asked, if there were an annexation of fire services
34	from ASCWD to NTFPD and some property taxes were transferred
35	and NTFPD's special property tax were applied to Valley residents,
36	what risks does Alpine Meadows have that NTFPD would take the
37	money but not provide agreed-upon services? Dwane said, to
38	address such concerns, the District could set up a special fiscal zone,
39	where funds remain in the Valley. He said the best alternative,
40	however, is to have one integrated fire service, since the other model
41	results in Balkanization (different levels of services in different areas.)
42	Stewart recommended against making decisions about annexation
43	and the accompanying tax system based on people and personalities
44	in place at NTFPD and ASCWD today. He said the Districts must
45	structure any agreement so that it will work in the future, with different
46	Board members and different fire chiefs.
47	Chief Whitelaw asked whether ASCWD could back out of annexation
48	with NTFPD if it were unhappy with the service. Dwane said that it
49	could not.

1	•	Dwane said there are alternatives to annexation, such as a form of
2		contract that will provide an integrated fire service. President Danz
3		said her concern was that NTFPD's Board has stated it will only
		•
4		consider annexation, not any other method for providing fire services.
5		She does not want ASCWD left in a situation that might be untenable
6		in, say, 20 years. Dwane said he can easily structure an agreement
7		that would give both parties what they needed but would not involve
8		annexation. He said the agreement would be long-term (which would
9		address one of NTFPD's main concerns) but could be readdressed at
10		shorter intervals. ASCWD's main concern is to have the appropriate
11		level of service. NTFPD's main concern is they don't want to make
12		financial investments in fire service to the Valley without a long-term
13		commitment. Stewart said Chief Whitelaw should talk to the NTFPD
14		board. ASCWD should start to reduce underwriting enterprise
15		services by property taxes (which it is doing) and should determine
16		the desired level of service and funding. The desired process would
17		be to negotiate a contract for service approved by both Boards, to
18		develop a sturdy cost structure, and have everything the Board would
19		need ready to present to the voters to gain their approval. The District
20		should also negotiate funding from the ski resort.
21	•	Director Northrop asked if a long-term contract would allow one of the
22		parties to back out. Stewart said the contract would have a clause
23		allowing either party to back out. He likened the contract to a
24		prenuptial agreement, which assumes a long-term relationship but
25		provides for equity if either party needs to end the relationship.
26	•	President Danz polled the Directors for opinions. They expressed
27		their thanks and appreciation for Stewart and Dwane's time and
28		presentation. In response to a request from President Danz, they
29		expressed a consensus that they wanted to move forward with
30		Citygate's help in negotiating an agreement between ASCWD and
31		NTFPD for a long-term contract for provision of fire services.
32	•	Stewart suggested the following: Citygate could participate in
33	•	separate meetings with the ASCWD and NTFPD Boards (preferably
34		the same day, to minimize expense) to determine the major terms of
35		the contract, followed by a meeting with Staff to work out the deal
36		points. Chief Whitelaw said the Boards need to move forward as
37		quickly as possible. He will work with Stewart and Dwane to schedule
38		a time for them to meet with the NTFPD Board.
39	•	Shirley Ellis asked if the negotiations would be complete by December
40		2006, when the current contract with NTFPD ends. Chief Whitelaw
41		said NTFPD would extend the contract as long as they are working
42		toward an agreement for long-term provision of service to ASCWD.
43	•	Dwane said the Board should obtain from District counsel the time
44		frame involved with developing a benefit assessment district (if it is
45		applicable) vs. instituting a special district tax. The Board should also
46		agree on the target level of service, which will drive the cost involved
47		in the contract.
48	•	President Danz and Chief Whitelaw agreed that today's presentation
	•	
49		represented a giant step forward. President Danz said the Board

1	would work quickly to gather the information required to move forward
2	with a joint agreement with NTFPD.
3	The Board took a break between 11:25 and 11:31.
4	K. <u>Presentation by Meeks Bay Fire Chief, John Pang</u> :
5	Chief Pang attended the meeting to answer any questions about the
6	organization and finances of the Meeks Bay Fire Department. President
7	Danz asked if Chief Pang had any feedback to offer, based on what he
8	heard from the Citygate presentation:
9	<ul> <li>Chief Pang recommended that the Directors of NTFPD and ASCWD</li> </ul>
10	work towards a common solution, rather than getting entrenched in
11	individual positions.
12	<ul> <li>Director Quinan pointed out that Chief Pang has been involved in</li> </ul>
13	putting together Fire Safe Chapters in Meeks Bay and in Tahoma,
14	both under the auspices of the Tahoe Basin Fire Safe Council.
15	<ul> <li>Chief Pang has had experience in setting up a benefit assessment</li> </ul>
16	district and with getting a special district tax approved. He said in the
17	case of getting a special tax approved, education is key. The voters
18	have to know exactly why the funding is needed.
19	<ul> <li>Chief Pang said the firefighting environment has changed significantly</li> </ul>
20	such that volunteer fire departments are no longer tenable. Chief
21	Whitelaw said you have to rely on full-time staffing with volunteers
22	providing extra help. The required training makes it very hard to
23	operate a volunteer fire department. As an example, Fallen Leaf has
24	a 20-volunteer force who all attend the weekly four-hour training
25	program but it is hard to get much of a response when an emergency
26	happens during working hours.
27	Chief Pang said developing a benefit assessment district is easier
28	than passing a special tax. He also said he has attempted to
29	negotiate with forest service concessionaires (like the Alpine
30	Meadows ski area) and said you have to do your ground work and
31	make sure they know what the benefit is for them.
32	During busy times, Meeks has two full-time staff and two volunteers
33	and the chief, plus mutual aid with NTFPD. Chief Pang commented
34	that California has the best mutual aid system in the world.
35	F. <u>Approval of Contract with Tahoe Truckee Sierra Disposal Company</u> : The Board reviewed the informal contract with Tahoe Truckee Sierra
36	
37	Disposal Company (TTSD). The District is already familiar with the rates
38	and has incorporated them into its budget but needs to vote to approve the contract. Manager Collins reported that TTSD's manager said he has
39 40	never used a formal contract in interacting with the District but would
40 41	make up one at Manager Collins' request. Director Northrop made a
42	MOTION that the Board approve the rates with TTSD as outlined in the
42 43	informal contract, with the understanding that the numbers would be
43 44	incorporated in a formal contract, to be provided later. Director Nungester
45	SECONDED the motion. All Directors being in favor, the motion was
46	APPROVED.
47	E. <u>General Manager's Report</u> :
48	John Collins, General Manager, provided a written report on his activities
49	during the month of April with discussion on the following topic:

#### ALPINE SPRINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT MINUTES OF THE REGULAR BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

Pursuant to notice given, the regular meeting of the Board of Directors, Alpine Springs County Water District, was held Friday, May 12, 2006, at 8:30 a.m., District Board Room, 270 Alpine Meadows Road.

Long Range Plan: He provided a preliminary report from Lumos. 1 2 Lumos has requested direction on how to predict system usage in the future. Lumos has predicted future water usage based on the 3 average use of current customers, but eliminating from the average 4 several of the highest and lowest users. This method shows usage 5 dropping slightly over time. Based on information obtained by 6 7 Manager Collins from other local districts, he believes that residents' usage will increase a bit over time. Manager Collins recommended 8 9 that the Board ask Lumos to predict future system usage based on 10 the average use of current residents, including all large and small customers, plus the estimated known and potential developments in 11 the Valley. Manager Collins told Lumos the reasonable future growth 12 of the District is 130 undeveloped entitled parcels plus three proposed 13 development projects (107 lots). Manager Collins also recommended 14 15 that the District evaluate whether meters can be read more frequently than once each year, because there is no way to model usage or 16 assure water supply based on peak day demand. Since there is no 17 usage model now, Lumos will have to apply a "peaking factor" of three 18 19 to estimate peak usage (this is commonly done in the industry). The Board gave its approval to the methods for estimating future water 20 usage recommended by Manager Collins. President Danz asked 21 22 Manager Collins to work with the Long Range Planning Committee to review documents produced by Lumos, rather than bringing 23 preliminary versions of the documents directly to the Board. She also 24 asked Manager Collins to press Lumos to complete the project. 25 26 D. **Rate Increases:** The Board reviewed the ordinance to implement the increase in water 27 and sewer rates for fiscal years 2007, 2008 and 2009. President Danz 28 29 asked Board members to review the draft and be ready to vote on its approval next month. Director Northrop said one resident approached 30 31 him to ask if the District could offer a senior discount. Manager Collins 32 said, before considering a senior discount, the Board would have to decide first whether they were willing to have some residents subsidize 33 34 other residents. If the answer were no, the issue of a senior discount 35

would be moot. If the answer were yes, the Board would have to decide how to define the groups receiving discounts and how to structure and enforce them (e.g., evaluating tax returns, etc.) The Board's consensus was not to consider offering a senior discount.

H. <u>Operations & Maintenance Department Report</u>:

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

- Buz Bancroft provided a written report for the month of April that included status updates on water, sewer, garbage and other services provided by the District. In addition to the information on the written report, Buz discussed the following items:
- Staff has been taking water samples, although not quite as often as before; there has been no recent coliform activity.
- The sewer system is flowing normally. This month's report is a 24day report, due to having lost communication with the TTSA meter. Since the snow has recently melted, Staff will now be able to diagnose and fix the problem.

		<u>-</u>
1		• The following maintenance work has been performed: snow removal,
2		budget work, vehicle and equipment maintenance. Staff has reviewed
3		three sets of plans for new home construction. They are also
4		preparing to open the Park on Memorial Day weekend. There is a
5		water leak on Upper Bench Road, which will be repaired next week.
6		Staff will start taking water samples more frequently with the onset of
7		spring runoff.
8	М.	Committee Reports:
9		i. Budget & Finance Committee: President Danz presented a written
10		report of the Committee's May 11, 2006, meeting with detailed
11		discussions of the following items:
12		a. Status of preparation of budget for fiscal year 2006-2007: A
13		draft budget and a list of capital projects were provided to the
14		Board. In addition to the \$226,000 cost for the rehabilitation of
15		spring 2 will be \$39,000 for fault mapping and engineering, which
16		the Committee plans to recommend for fiscal year 2006-2007. The
17		Committee will probably recommend to the Board that the priority
18		1 projects be funded for the coming fiscal year, starting with
19		rehabilitating spring 1.
20		b. <b>Requests for unbudgeted expenses:</b> The Committee reviewed
21		two requests for unbudgeted expenses:
22		<ul> <li>\$120 for three Staff members to attend the SDRMA Safety</li> </ul>
22		Day; and
23		<ul> <li>\$201.90 to Sierra Nevada Media Group to publish notice of the</li> </ul>
24 25		rate increase (after its approval) in the Tahoe World.
23 26		Director Northrop made a MOTION that the Board approve the
20 27		two unbudgeted expenses. Director Quinan SECONDED the
27 28		motion. All Directors being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
28 29		c. Next meeting: Scheduled for Monday, June 12, 2006, at 7:30
29 30		a.m.
30		ii. Administration & Personnel Committee: There was no meeting.
31		iii. Fire Committee: There was no meeting.
32 33		iv. Long Range Planning Committee: There was no meeting.
33 34		v. Park, Recreation and Greenbelt Committee: There was no meeting.
34 35	R.	Open Items:
	IX.	<ul> <li>Director Quinan pointed out that Manager Collins was supposed to</li> </ul>
36 37		find a copy of the sprinkler ordinance, to follow up on updating the fire
		code.
38		
39		Director Grant suggested that the Board send out an email to
40		everyone on the newsletter distribution, advising them that the June
41		Board meeting is on a different day than usual. After discussion,
42		President Danz instead requested that the notification about the June
43		meeting be placed on the District web page in flashing letters or other
44		eye-catching manner, and an email reminder be sent to the presidents
45	•	of the Valley homeowners' associations to forward to their members.
46	S.	Correspondence to the Board:
47		The Board received a letter from Wayne Nader, former Board Member of
48		the Placer Consolidated Fire Protection District, recommending the
49		Board's support of Tony Wright for the position of LAFCO commissioner.

1	4.	CLOSED SESSION
2		None.
3	5.	DIRECTORS' COMMENTS
4		<ul> <li>Director Northrop said Lowell Northrop was willing to consult on the</li> </ul>
5		rehabilitation of spring 1. President Danz suggested this might represent a
6		conflict of interest for Director Northrop. Manager Collins will investigate
7		whether or not there is such a conflict of interest.
8		• The next regular Board meeting is scheduled for Monday, June 12, 2006, at
9		1:00 p.m.
10	6.	ADJOURNMENT
11		There being no further business before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at
12		12:30 p.m.
13		
14		
15		Respectfully Submitted, Approved with amendment, 6-12-06
16		
17		Jancis Martin
18		Recording Secretary