1	1.	CALL TO ORDER
2		Vice President Nungester called the meeting to order at 8:30 a.m.
3		PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
4		Those attending joined in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance.
5		ROLL CALL
6		Directors Present: Barbara Danz, President (by telephone); Charles Nungester,
7		Vice President; Janet S. Grant; Jon Northrop; Virginia Quinan
8		Directors Absent: None.
9		Staff Present: John Collins, General Manager; Mike Dobrowski, District CPA (by
10		telephone); Buz Bancroft, Operations & Maintenance; Jancis Martin, Recording
11		Secretary
12		Guests Present: Peter Poe/NTFPD; Mike Hardy/Lumos; Georgia Turner/Lumos
13	2.	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
14		None.
15		The numbering of the following items matches the original order of the
16		scheduled items in the meeting agenda. However, to take best advantage
17		of meeting participants' time, the items were dealt with in a different order
18		during today's meeting, as seen below.
18	3.	ITEMS FOR BOARD DISCUSSION & ACTION
20	Э.	A. <u>Financial Reports</u> :
20 21		Mike Dobrowski, District CPA, participated in discussion of this agenda
21		item by telephone.
		•
23		<ul> <li>The District received \$303K in property tax revenues, which was more than had been hudgeted</li> </ul>
24		than had been budgeted.
25		Expenses are on track.
26		<ul> <li>The District accrued the contractual amount due to NTFPD (\$144K).</li> </ul>
27		<ul> <li>Mike is still working with the auditors on one audit report issue.</li> </ul>
28		<ul> <li>Mike sent out final notices to customers with delinquent accounts. In</li> </ul>
29		the next couple of months he will send the accounts that remain
30		unpaid to collections. Accounts receivable stands at \$28K right now.
31		i. December 20008 Financial Statements: Director Northrop made a
32		MOTION that the Board accept the financial statements, dated
33		January 5, 2009, as presented. Director Quinan SECONDED the
34		motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester and Quinan being in
35		favor, the motion was APPROVED.
36		ii. December Expenses Paid & Payable: The Directors reviewed the
37		monthly check register. Director Grant made a MOTION that the
38		Board approve the Expenses Paid & Payable (two direct debits for
39		payroll and checks 24106 through 24170). Director Northrop
40		SECONDED the motion. Directors Grant, Northrop, Nungester and
41		Quinan being in favor, the motion was APPROVED.
42		B. Approval of Minutes of Regular Board Meeting Held on December
43		<u>12, 2008:</u>
44		Director Grant made a MOTION that the Board approve the minutes of
45		the December 12, 2008, Regular Board of Directors Meeting. Director
46		Northrop SECONDED the motion. During discussion, the following
40 47		corrections were proposed:
48		<ul> <li>Page 1, line 37: Replace "\$140K-150K" with "\$14K-15K gross";</li> </ul>
		• •
49		<ul> <li>Page 3, line 10: Replace "Master" with "Manager";</li> </ul>

1		<ul> <li>Page 7, line 20: Replace "9" with "8".</li> </ul>
2		Director Grant AMENDED the motion to include the corrections. Director
3		Northrop SECONDED the amended motion. Directors Grant, Northrop,
4		Nungester and Quinan being in favor, the amended motion was
5		APPROVED.
6	D.	General Manager's Report:
0 7	υ.	John Collins, General Manager, provided a written report on his activities
8		during the month of December 2008, with brief discussion on the
9		following topics:
10		• Well R-1 Modification: Continued to make improvements to Well R-1.
11		The SCADA system seems to be working. Resource Development
12		and Sierra Controls are still working on a few minor items. Manager
13		Collins will retain 10% of the contractor's fee (about \$42,000) until all
14		work is complete. The contract should come in about \$56K less than
15		the total approved by the Board.
16		Sierra Nevada Conservancy Grant: Manager Collins received notice
17		to suspend work on the grant, effective December 17, 2008. SNC
17		explained that they were told to conserve cash, due to the current
		uncertain financial conditions within the State of California. The
19		
20		District did receive its second grant payment from SNC. He provided
21		to the Board a list of outstanding grant payables.
22		Vehicle Maintenance Building: Manager Collins is still awaiting a letter
23		from Placer County Environmental Health with information on how to
24		proceed with the contaminated soil at the site of the proposed building
25		addition. The District's consultant spoke with Environmental Health
26		and was told the department was still holding internal discussions.
27		Manager Collins was told verbally that the District needed to perform
28		a risk assessment and remove all excavated soil. The District's
29		consultant does not see the need for a risk assessment since the
30		material in question is not volatile.
31	C.	Fire Department Report:
32	0.	Peter Poe from NTFPD reported the following:
		· · ·
33		December 2008 Dispatch Report: Most dispatches (10 of 17) were     transporte for aki assidente. The earthen manavide insident involved
34		transports for ski accidents. The carbon monoxide incident involved
35		testing a residential alarm.
36		Fire Station Staffing: The extra staffing worked out well over the
37		holidays (Christmas through January 5).
38	F.	<u> Operations &amp; Maintenance Department Report:</u>
39		Buz Bancroft presented a written report for the month of December that
40		included status updates on water, sewer, garbage and other services
41		provided by the District.
42		• A lot of water was used last month, due to the high number of people
43		in residence over the holidays. Some of the high water usage was
44		due to leaks from frozen pipes.
45		There were several callouts during Christmas week for frozen or      broken pines throughout the vallout
46		broken pipes throughout the valley.
47		• Staff removed snow from the office and shop areas and fire hydrants.
48		<ul> <li>Staff serviced various control valves throughout the District while the</li> </ul>
49		weather was still good.

1		Bushwackers tree service thinned dead and dying trees on the hillside
2		adjacent to the Park and behind the fire house. The expense for this
3		work was budgeted and will contribute to the District's in-kind
4		commitment to the SNC grant.
5		<ul> <li>Wells R-1 and R-2 were fine-tuned to operate in snowmaking mode.</li> </ul>
6		<ul> <li>All water samples were negative for coliform bacteria.</li> </ul>
7		Director Quinan reported on a current article in the Sierra Sun about
8		the Donner Summit district, which had a fine of \$50K levied against it
9		for problems having to do with nitrate and ammonia in its treatment
10		plant.
11	G.	TTSA Report:
12		There has been no TTSA Board meeting since the last ASCWD Board
13		meeting. The next TTSA Board meeting is scheduled for January 14, at
14		9:00 a.m.
15	Н.	Committee Reports:
16		i. Budget & Finance Committee: President Danz presented a written
17		report of the Committee's January 8 meeting:
18		a. Non-standard Transactions: The Committee discussed the
19		repercussions of the suspension and possible termination of the
20		Sierra Nevada Conservancy grant, with respect to how to pay
21		vendors and account for any losses. The Committee
22		recommends that all vendors - other than NTFPD, ASCWD and
23		David Jaramillo - be paid the full amount for their services,
24		including the 10% retention amounts. ASCWD would then carry
25		on its books a receivable from SNC for the amounts paid to the
26		vendors in advance of receipt of SNC funds and for the 10%
27		retention. ASCWD would also carry a receivable for the time
28		incurred by District staff on the grant. It is probable that at the end
29		of the fiscal year, the receivable will be written off as an unpaid
30		debt.
31		b. Investments/cash: Seven CDs, totaling \$600,000, will mature
32		later this month. Per Manager Collins' suggestion, the Committee
33		recommends that the CDs be rolled over as follows:
34		<ul> <li>two CDs - for \$95,000 each – be invested in three-month CDs;</li> </ul>
35		<ul> <li>two CDs – for \$95,000 each – be invested in six-month CDs;</li> </ul>
36		<ul> <li>three CDs – one for \$30,000 and two for \$95,000 – be</li> </ul>
37		invested in nine-month CDs.
38		Director Quinan made a MOTION that the Board authorize
39		Manager Collins to reinvest the seven CDs per the Committee's
40		recommendation. Director Northrop SECONDED the motion.
41		Directors Grant, Northrop and Quinan being in favor, the motion
42		was APPROVED.
43		c. Draft of Management Discussion & Analysis section of audit:
44		The Committee discussed the draft Management Discussion &
45		Analysis to be included in the final audit report. Nancy Boling will
46		fax Manager Collins some minor changes. The auditors will
47		attend the February Board meeting to discuss their final report.
48		d. Requests from customers for adjustments to their bills: None.

1		e. Requests for unbudgeted expenses: The Committee
2		recommended approval of the unbudgeted expenditure of \$120.00
3		to Foundation for Cross-Connection Control and Hydraulic
4		Research for a water purveyor membership. Director Northrop
5		made a MOTION that the Board approve the unbudgeted
6		expense. Director Grant SECONDED the motion. Directors
7		Grant, Northrop, and Quinan being in favor, the motion was
8		APPROVED.
9		f. <b>Next meeting:</b> Scheduled for Thursday, February 12, at 1:30 p.m.
10		<li>ii. Long Range Planning Committee: There was no meeting.</li>
11		iii. Park, Recreation & Greenbelt Committee: There was no meeting.
12	_	iv. Administration & Personnel Committee: There was no meeting.
13	Ε.	Fire Flow Feasibility Study:
14		The Board discussed the Lumos Fire Flow Feasibility Study. Mike Hardy
15		and Georgia Turner attended for Lumos and participated in the
16		discussion.
17		<ul> <li>The improvement of fire flow on Juniper Mountain is an item on the</li> </ul>
18		District's Long Range Plan. The District engaged Lumos to perform a
19		feasibility study. Based on the Long Range Plan prepared by Lumos
20		and approved by the Board, the Long Range Planning Committee and
21		the Board believed the extent of the work involved would be the
22		purchase and installation of a pump and budgeted accordingly (\$37K).
23		However, the Lumos fire flow feasibility study showed that the four
24		options to improve fire flow would cost between \$735,726 and
25		\$956,851, with Lumos recommending the most expensive option.
26		Georgia said the high cost of the options was due to fire code
27		changes, in particular a new requirement that, for unsprinklered
28		homes greater than 3,600 square feet, 1,750 gallons per minute be
29		available for 120 minutes, with a residual pressure of no less than 20
30		pounds psi in the distribution system. All options would require the
31		replacement of pipes and, in the case of the recommended option, the
32		provision of a tank for greater water storage.
33		President Danz said she was not prepared to ask District customers
34		for a rate increase at this point to fund the project. She also
35		expressed concern about the recession and the probable reduction of
36		future property tax revenues. She does not see how a district like
37		ASCWD can afford such an expensive project. Vice President
38		Nungester and President Danz agreed that the issue should go back
39		to the Long Range Planning Committee for review and a
40		recommendation to the Board on how to proceed.
41		Vice President Nungester asked if a less expensive option existed
42		which would satisfy the fire code. Mike said there was an existing
43		waiver, allowing the District to operate with 500 gpm fire flow, rather
44		than the 1,000 gpm required by the old fire code. NTFPD was well
45		aware that ASCWD could not meet the old fire code. Mike said
46		Lumos could design for any flow the District wants. He suggested
47		there might be liability to the District for not providing sufficient fire
48		flow. President Danz did not agree with Mike's conclusion.
40		ווטייי. דרבאעבות שמוצ מע ווטן מעובב איונד איות 5 נטווטוטאטוו.

1	<ul> <li>Manager Collins said an option might be to sprinkler the houses at the</li> </ul>
2	top of Juniper Mountain and potentially throughout the valley (there
3	are seven unsprinklered houses larger than 3,600 square feet), which
4	would reduce the fire flow requirement in the water system. Manager
5	Collins also pointed out that new large homes require not only
6	sprinklering but also that a fire hydrant capable of producing adequate
7	fire flow be located within 500 or 1,000 feet. He said he did not think
8	anyone would be able to build a large home on Juniper Mountain until
9	higher water pressures are available.
10	<ul> <li>President Danz said the Long Range Planning Committee needed to</li> </ul>
11	work with Lumos and possibly the Bear Creek Planning Commission
12	and the Placer County Building Department on how to address the
12	problem. Vice President Nungester asked Manager Collins to talk
14	with NTFPD and Placer County about waivers and sprinklering. A
14	couple of inexpensive solutions might be 1) to restrict building and 2)
15	to require retrofitting of sprinklers for houses over a certain size.
17	Director Northrop said if a tank were put on the ridge, it would solve
17	the problem.
	•
19	Director Grant said the best thing to do might be to do nothing, since     any move toward improving the water evotem might page to the water
20	any move toward improving the water system might negate the waiver
21	that currently allows the District to operate with 500 gpm fire flow.
22	Director Northrop said the fire safe activities in the valley should
23	prevent fires, and that education and prevention are the most
24	important things.
25	• President Danz could not participate in the meeting beyond this time.
26	Before she hung up, she recommended that the Board think about all
27	the parties that needed to be involved and that, at next month's Board
28	meeting, the Directors determine the list of necessary parties, which
29	should include District legal counsel. She requested that Manager
30	Collins email her after the meeting with a summary of the discussion
31	after she hangs up.
32	<ul> <li>Mike said his conversations with NTFPD indicated that they were</li> </ul>
33	aware that ASCWD's fire flow was out of compliance but not that
34	anything needed to be done about it.
35	<ul> <li>Director Quinan requested confirmation that each of the alternatives</li> </ul>
36	required upsizing the main water line. Georgia said yes, all options
37	provided for larger pipes to the upper two streets and would require
38	that the road surface - which was just replaced - be dug up again.
39	<ul> <li>Manager Collins will contact parties who will need to be involved with</li> </ul>
40	future discussions about the Juniper Mountain fire flow.
41	Director Grant asked if the recommended solution would solve fire
42	flow deficiencies for the whole valley. Georgia said the new tank
43	would help all of zone 3 and potentially the lower zones, and would
44	provide necessary additional storage, as well. Manager Collins said
45	the California Department of Health Services just adopted some new
46	design requirements, with the intention that districts be required to
47	have adequate storage in every other (i.e., alternating) pressure zone.
48	So the issue of adequate storage will come up sometime in the future.

1	<ul> <li>Director Quinan asked if the District could legally require homes to be</li> </ul>
2	sprinklered. Vice President Nungester said the issue was discussed
3	by BCPC, whose concern was whether or not the requiring entity
4	would be responsible for any water damage resulting from
5	deployment of the sprinklers. Director Quinan said BCPC is having
6	an insurance problem and that everyone has resigned from the
7	organization.
8	<ul> <li>Director Quinan asked who owned the land where the proposed tank</li> </ul>
9	would be placed. Georgia said it appears to be District property.
10	Mike Hardy said the proposed tank would provide necessary storage
11	to address peak water usage during the summer.
12	<ul> <li>Vice President Nungester asked if Mike or Georgia could attend the</li> </ul>
12	February or March Board meeting to represent Lumos, if necessary.
15	They said yes.
15	<ul> <li>Director Northrop said the document showed several homes in</li> </ul>
16	Juniper Hills. Georgia said it was an error.
17	<ul> <li>Director Grant asked how far up the hill water was available. Buz said</li> </ul>
17	under normal conditions the pressure is 20 gpm at the top of the hill.
18	Georgia said that under fire-fighting conditions, there is not enough
20	pressure to get water to the top of the hill. There is probably enough
20	pressure to get water to about where the booster pump station is
21	located. Beyond that point, there would just be air in the lines.
22	<ul> <li>Manager Collins said the recommended work would take care of</li> </ul>
23	storage and zone issues, in addition to providing fire flow
24 25	improvements. He suggested the Board look at rates to see what
23 26	they should be to fund the program, look at legal issues, determine
20 27	what the requirements really are, figure out how the improvements
28	could be funded and how the project could be broken up into smaller,
28	more affordable (\$200K) work projects, implemented over several
30	years.
31	<ul> <li>Director Northrop thought there might be federal grants available to</li> </ul>
31	fund capital improvements. Mike said he knows California is broke
32	but that the proposed federal economic stimulus package contains
33 34	allocations for upgrades to public infrastructure. Nevada has
35	submitted a list of \$96 million worth of water and sewer projects to
35	Washington DC; it is a list of non-compliance issues that need to be
30 37	fixed within the next 3-6 months. Manager Collins said that the State
37	of California has a similar revolving fund list, that the District is not on
38 39	the list, and that the fire flow improvement project would not be
39 40	designed and ready to go to bid in 3-6 months, as would be necessary
40	for it to qualify for stimulus funds.
41 42	
42 43	<ul> <li>Manager Collins said there are a lot of districts in the Tahoe basin which cannot provide sufficient fire flow: NTERD is trying to obtain</li> </ul>
	which cannot provide sufficient fire flow; NTFPD is trying to obtain funding to address these fire flow improvements within the basin
44	funding to address these fire flow improvements within the basin.
45	<ul> <li>Vice President Nungester said the most expensive option does not have number to be maintained, would not involve paying for electricity.</li> </ul>
46	have pumps to be maintained, would not involve paying for electricity
47 48	to power pumps, would provide more storage capacity, would therefore seem to be the best recommendation, but more discussion
48	therefore seem to be the best recommendation, but more discussion
49	has to occur before the Board can decide how to proceed.

1		<ul> <li>Director Quinan asked about the comparative costs to the customers</li> </ul>
2		posed by the various options. Georgia said, although the most
3		expensive option (alternative 4) would cost more upfront, it would not
4		represent any greater cost to customers over the years, since it does
5		not require ongoing electrical expense.
6		<ul> <li>Vice President Nungester asked Director Grant to stay in touch with</li> </ul>
7		Manager Collins over the next month. Next month's meeting will
8		probably focus on the audit so the Board will probably re-address the
9		fire flow issue in March.
10		
10		<ul> <li>Director Northrop and Vice President Nungester thanked Georgia and Mike for the report and a job well done.</li> </ul>
		• •
12		<ul> <li>Manager Collins summarized that the Board needs to understand:</li> </ul>
13		<ul> <li>the implications of all the options;</li> </ul>
14		<ul> <li>NTFPD's actual requirement for fire flow in the valley;</li> </ul>
15		<ul> <li>requirements of the Department of Health Services;</li> <li>if the surrent univer allowing the District to project in a lower fire</li> </ul>
16		<ul> <li>if the current waiver allowing the District to maintain a lower fire</li> </ul>
17		flow than stipulated by the fire code means the District is not
18		legally required to improve its fire flow;
19		<ul> <li>the District's liability;</li> </ul>
20		<ul> <li>how the required flow will drive the design of already identified</li> <li>future projects as well as repairs to failing infrastructures</li> </ul>
21		future projects, as well as repairs to failing infrastructures.
22		<ul> <li>Director Grant said the Board must be confident that each step done</li> </ul>
23		now is the right step in the right direction.
24		Manager Collins will talk to NTFPD and DHS to determine the actual
25		fire flow requirement for ASCWD.
26		<ul> <li>There was discussion about whether fires should be fought by</li> </ul>
27		tankers, rather than ASCWD implementing improved fire flow.
28		I. <u>Open Items</u> :
29		None.
30		J. <u>Correspondence to the Board</u> :
31		None.
32	4.	DIRECTORS' COMMENTS
33		<ul> <li>The next Board meeting is scheduled for February 13, at 8:30 a.m.</li> </ul>
34		At President Danz's request, the March Board meeting will be held on its
35		scheduled date but at a later time: 11:00 a.m. on March 13.
36	5.	CLOSED SESSION
37		None.
38	6.	ADJOURNMENT
39		There being no further business before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at
40		10:15 a.m.
41		
42		Respectfully Submitted, approved as submitted 2/13/09
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		Jancis Martin
48		Recording Secretary