ALPINE SPRINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WORKSHOP

Pursuant to notice given, the Fire and Emergency Services Workshop of the Board of Directors, Alpine Springs County Water District, was held Friday, January 13, 2005, at 2:00 p.m., District Board Room, 270 Alpine Meadows Road.

1. INTRODUCTION AND OPENING STATEMENTS

accomplishing the agreed-upon goals.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

President Danz called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. Participants included President Danz; Directors Grant, Northrop, Quinan, and Stefani; Manager Shaw; Mark Hadley (AMFD/NTFPD volunteer): Todd Conradson, Battalion Chief (NTFPD); Al Pride (AMEA) and Lee Massick (JMA). Manager Shaw briefly described the history of the Alpine Meadows Fire Department and the current status of fire and emergency services in the Valley. Since its inception, the AM Fire Department has been a volunteer fire department. The District has contracted with North Tahoe Fire Protection District since March 1996 to provide fire and emergency protection services to AM. Over the years, the roster of AMFD volunteers has been high as the mid-20s, but now it is down to three, all of whom work for NTFPD as volunteers. The arrangement with NTFPD for fire and emergency services seems to be working well. In the last few of years, the Board of Directors, via its Fire Committee, has discussed annexing District fire services to NTFPD to provide a higher level of service to the Valley. However, the closer the issue comes to a decision point, the more questions arise. The purpose of the workshop is to generate a protocol for the decision-making process. The hopeful outcome is a plan that will include goals for fire protection services in the Valley, alternatives, and a methodology

Today's workshop is intended to be an informal meeting, with an open format to promote brainstorming. Manager Shaw encouraged participation by all attendees.

for ranking alternatives. The plan will provide a map for how to proceed in

2. <u>DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING LEVEL OF SERVICE AND OPERATIONAL</u> FORMAT OF THE ALPINE MEADOWS FIRE DEPARTMENT

NTFPD is responsible for providing all fire and emergency protection services to the District. Anyone who calls 911 will get a response from NTFPD and possibly, but not necessarily, from the three NTFPD volunteers who are Valley residents. But there is no obligation for NTFPD to staff the Alpine Meadows fire station. It is too expensive and not part of the current agreement between the District and NTFPD. The level of service has been the primary concern and focus of the District Fire Committee when discussing the future of fire services in the valley.

3. COMMUNITY GOALS REGARDING LEVEL OF SERVICE

The Fire Committee has established its main goal as increasing the level of service in the Valley. The response time, depending on location in Valley, is currently 10-15 minutes. Current response time is below the industry's standard, which is 8 minutes 90% of the time. Todd Conradson encouraged the Board to use its judgment in coming up with a standard for response time, instead of using the Placer County proposed standard for medical service response time. Workshop participants identified the following components of level of service improvements for consideration (priorities were deliberately not addressed when generating this list):

- Medical Service
 - Basic response (basic EMT first aid)
 - Advanced response (defibrillator capability)
- Fire Service
 - Response time

ALPINE SPRINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WORKSHOP

Pursuant to notice given, the Fire and Emergency Services Workshop of the Board of Directors, Alpine Springs County Water District, was held Friday, January 13, 2005, at 2:00 p.m., District Board Room, 270 Alpine Meadows Road.

1 2		 Detection (alarm systems provide a good head start in this category) Notification (dispatch – Placer County Sheriff Station in Tahoe City)
3		Travel Time
4		 Equipment
5		 Fire Flow (water pressure available for fire prevention services – it varies
6		from location to location within the valley)
7		 Structure Fire vs. Wildland Fire
8		• Peak vs. Off-peak Season Considerations (minimum/maximum equipment
9		and staffing)
10		Guaranteed services to the community in perpetuity or at least for a given
11		length of time
12		• Different levels of service for different areas in the Valley (e.g., residential,
13		commercial, ski area, visitors)
14		Financial participation by commercial participants, including different
15		billing schemes for different entities (e.g., single family, commercial,
16		industrial, ski resort)
17	4.	TRANSLATE GOALS INTO WORKING DEPARTMENTAL MODEL
18		a. <u>Identification of alternatives to achieving goals:</u>
19		Director Stefani discussed alternatives identified by the Fire Committee.
20		The workshop participants started with the Fire Committee alternatives
21		and added others to arrive at the following list:
22		i. Hire personnel to staff station:
23		Create and operate the District's own fire department
24		Create and operate the District's own volunteer program
25		3. Consider responsibilities of local fire department (e.g.,
26		implementation of alarm system network, public education
27		regarding prevention)
28		ii. Merge services with another provider:
29		1. North Tahoe Fire Protection District
30		2. Squaw Valley Fire Department
31		3. Others (e.g., private, Truckee)
32		iii. Contract services to another provider:
33		1. North Tahoe Fire Protection District
34		 Squaw Valley Fire Department Others (e.g., private, Truckee)
35		iv. " As Is ": Keep current arrangement with NTFPD. Do nothing about
36 37		changing the current level of service.
38		v. Combination of alternatives
39		vi. Fee for Service
40		b. <u>Evaluation of alternatives</u> :
41		 Manager Shaw asked how the workshop participants would like to
42		move forward with the decision-making process: participate
43		themselves or allow the "professionals" (i.e., Fire Committee members
44		or Board) to evaluate the alternatives. President Danz expressed
45		discomfort at the effectiveness of workshop participants being able to
46		make decisions without knowing numbers and values associated with
47		items on the lists. She felt that the Board's role was to assign
48		priorities to the list of goals and that the Fire Committee would

ALPINE SPRINGS COUNTY WATER DISTRICT MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES WORKSHOP

Pursuant to notice given, the Fire and Emergency Services Workshop of the Board of Directors, Alpine Springs County Water District, was held Friday, January 13, 2005, at 2:00 p.m., District Board Room, 270 Alpine Meadows Road.

1	probably be the best qualified entity to supply numbers associated
2	with goals (e.g., recommended response times).
3	 Manager Shaw suggested that the best way to proceed might be for
4	the Board, at its next meeting, to assign the Fire Committee the task
5	of coming up with a decision matrix, derived from the goals and
6	alternatives identified at this workshop. Development of the decision
7	matrix might be a two-pass process: first the Committee would bring
8	to the Board for approval a refined goal list that would detail the
9	desired level of service. Once that is approved, the Committee would
10	go back and fill in values and rationales for each of the alternatives,
11	and come up with a recommended alternative for Board approval.
12	 President Danz suggested that the Fire Committee might need to
13	meet twice a month to get the work done in a timely fashion.
14	 Director Stefani asked if there would be more workshops, since there
15	was not much public participation at this one. President Danz
16	suggested that the best time to have the next workshop would be
17	when the Board has approved a tentative alternative.
18	
19	
20	
21	Respectfully Submitted,
22	
23	
24	
25	Jancis Martin
26	Recording Secretary